

Working Papers in Trade and Development

South-South Trade: An Asian Perspective Prema-chandra Athukorala

> August 2011 Working Paper No. 2011/09

Arndt-Corden Department of Economics Crawford School of Economics and Government ANU College of Asia and the Pacific

South-South Trade: An Asian Perspecitive

Prema-chandra Athukorala The Arndt-Corden Department of Economics Crawford School of Economics and Government ANU College of Asia and the Pacific The Australian National University

Corresponding Address :

Prema-chandra Athukorala The Arndt-Corden Department of Economics Crawford School of Economics and Government ANU College of Asia and the Pacific Coombs Building 9 The Australian National University Canberra ACT 0200

Email: Prema-chandra.Athukorala@anu.edu.au

August 2011 Working Paper No. 2011/09

This Working Paper series provides a vehicle for preliminary circulation of research results in the fields of economic development and international trade. The series is intended to stimulate discussion and critical comment. Staff and visitors in any part of the Australian National University are encouraged to contribute. To facilitate prompt distribution, papers are screened, but not formally refereed.

Copies may be obtained at WWW Site http://www.crawford.anu.edu.au/acde/publications/

South-South Trade: An Asian Perspective

Prema-chandra Athukorala

Arndt-Corden Department of Economics College of Asia and The Pacific Australian National University <u>Prema-chandra.athukorala@anu.edu.au</u>

South-South Trade: An Asian Perspective

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to contribute to our understanding of the rationale behind the case for promoting trade among developing countries (South-South trade) by examining the emerging trends, patterns, and determinants of intra-developing country trade (South-South trade) in Asia within the wider global contest. The paper covers all developing member countries of the ADB (that is, countries in Northeast Asia (excluding Japan), East Asia, South Asia, and Central and West Asia, the Pacific), while paying attention to commonalities within sub-regions and differences among them. In analysing the Asian experience compared to developing countries in other regions, particular attention is placed on Asia's unique role within global production networks and growing importance of cross-border trade in parts and component among countries in the region.

There are two key issues central to the debate on whether specific policy interventions are needed for promoting South-South trade: Is South-South trade is too little compared to what we would expect in terms of the standard determinants of trade flows? (Is there untapped potential in South-South exchange?) Does South-South trade have specific structural features that justify policy intervention to 'engineer' a shift in trade from the North to the South? The focus here is predominantly on the former question. Clear-headed thinking on this issue is needed to avert a messy two-tier or multi-tier international trading system emerging out of political motives and/or ideological predilections.

For the purpose of the analysis in this section, 'developing countries', or the South, is defined to encompass developing Asia (the member countries of the Asian Development Bank), Latin America, Africa and the Middle East. This is consistent with the country classification used in the official publications of the WTO (2003) and UNCTAD (2005). 'Developing countries' (or the South) are defined to encompass developing Asia (the member countries of the Asian Development Bank), Latin America, Africa and the Middle East. The prime focus is on developing Asian countries (DAC) (the member countries of ADB), The experiences of DACs are examined from a global perspective, paying particular attention to their trade links with countries in Africa and Latin America.

The data are compiled from the UN trade data system (Comtrade data base, SITC Rev 3) Time coverage: 1990-2008.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly surveys the policy debate on promoting South-South trade in order to set the stage for the ensuing analysis. Section 3 examines trends and patterns of South-South trade, encompassing trade flows over time in aggregate, by major partners, and by major commodity groups. This section also examines geographic patterns of trade, with emphasis on the implications of the growing importance of global production sharing. Section 4 undertakes and econometric analysis of the determinants of trade flows within the standard gravity modeling framework. The final section summarizes the main findings and draws out some general inferences.

South-South trade: State of the Debate

The case for promoting South-South trade is not new. It has gone through five distinct cycles over the past half a century. The debate on South-South trade has gone through distinct and dramatic cycles during the post-war era. Delinking (decoupling) developing countries (the South) from mature industrial economies (North) was one of those popular slogans in the international development policy debate in the 1950s and 1960s¹. Proponents of this view regarded promotion of commercial and financial links among developing countries, if necessary (or, some would say, preferably) at the expense of such links with the North as a necessary condition for balanced, equitable and self-reliant growth in developing countries.

I the negotiations held in Geneva (1947) and Havana (1948) for drafting the International Trade organization Charter and to negotiate the General Agreement on Trade and Tariffs, developing countries led by Australia, India, Brazil and Chile sought special authorization of specific exceptions to commercial policy including regional trade preferences to safeguard their plans for economic development (Gardner 1980, p. 365-6). Ten years later, 'Economic integration among underdeveloped countries in order to expand their markets and established industrial production on a more rational basis'

 $^{^{1}}$ For a comprehensive survey of the relevant literature see Diaz-Alegandro (1978)

was among the four major proposals² made by Raul Prebisch (the founding Secretary General of the United national Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD) and its leading ideologist) at the inaugural meetings of UNCTAD in 1963 (Prebisch 1964). Prebisch envisaged that '[T]he developing countries should also form their own groupings in order to plan and develop their industries in wider markets. In the course of time, the more advanced developing countries should be able to produce a market for exports of manufactures from the countries which are embarking on the first stages of industrialization by according them preferential treatments'.

In the 1950s and early 1960s, the UN regional economic commissions in Africa and Latin America made attempts to promote regional and sub-regional integration schemes (Greenaway and Milner 1989). Other than these attempts, most of which never went beyond the drawing board stage and others had a short active life, the decoupling ideology, however, remained dormant during the ensuing decade or so This was because the Bretton Woods system provided a congenial setting for smooth economic relations among the developing countries and between them and the developed countries (Bhagwati 1996). Until the 1970s trade turned out to be much more buoyant than Prebisch and his followers had expected. The 1950s and 1960s witnessed unprecedented expansion in world trade and income and a benign attitude towards the international regime prevailed among the developing countries because they too generally prospered during these decades. The general belief was that interaction among all nations would be mutually beneficial.

• Attempt to put into the idea of delinking (under the new label of 'collective self reliance') as part of the United Nations' call for a **New International Economic Order** (NIEO) during the period from 1973 to late 1970s

(NIEO: agreed upon at Algiers summit of the Non-aligned Nations and formally ratified by the UN general assembly in 1973.

² The other three proposals related to Duty free entry for manufactured products of the underdeveloped countries, Commodity agreements designed to raise and stabilize the prices of poor countries' food and raw material exports, and compensatory finance, which would provide international stability for countries whose export earnings lags behind the developmental needs

Motivated by the perception of 'commodity power' coming from the OPEC success in quadrupling oil price)

---In 1973 OPEC raised oil prices threefold. It saw the emergence of demand by the Third World for a new International economic order...

The case for promoting South-South economic relations became prominent in the 1970s as part of the United Nations' call for a New International Economic Order during the 1970s. The rationale for NIEO (revolt against the international system) grew out of the strong perception of 'commodity power' based on the remarkable success of the OPEC in quadrupling oil prices in the early 1970s and emerging signs of economic slowdown in the North The package of policies proposed under the label 'collective self reliance' included, given preferences to mutual trade, setting up producer cartels, and arrangements for sharing technology; trade should not be left to market forces but planned. Promoting South-South exchange though these measures was regarded as correcting past colonial and neocolonial distortions of trade patterns. Paul Streeten, a leading proponent of NIEO, summed up the the case for promoting South-South trade prevalent at the time as follows:

'The existing strong North-South orientation is partly the colonial heritage and the legacy of the network of communication, credit, transports. This has been reinforced by aid-tying and the investment by multinational enterprises. ... Moreover, in view of the probably lower growth rates of the countries of the organization of Economic Cooperation and development (OECD) and the probability of the erection of trade barriers, the encouragement of intra-third world trade is a sensible way to ensure against future loses. Even if buying in the North may be cheaper, lack of foreign exchange make it impossible. A more poverty-oriented strategy of development will show that poor countries are more likely to produce for one another what they consume and consume what they themselves produce. The strong North-South orientation of the past is partly built on the consumption patterns of a small Southern elite and the dual development this has implied. Once the alternative infrastructure has been erected, incentives for greater South-South trade will emerge Not only the oil exporters but also the growing newly industrializing countries presented expanding markets, but they were hardly captured by low-income countries in the region' (Streeten (1982, 168).

Received added impetus from economic slowdown in the South than began in mid 1970s (following the oil shock) and precipitated by the Volker-Regan macroeconomic policies in the early1980s. The case for promoting South-South trade gained added impetus from the Volker-Regan recession of the early 1980s. The recession reinforced the factors underlying the division in fragmenting the developing countries further and more emphatically into a state of diversity and conquest perplexity (Lewis 1980). The collapse of commodity prices devastated many developing countries. At the same time, the treat of protectionism became worrisome in the OECD countries, halting even reversing the liberalizing tends set in successive GATT winds of tariff reduction. Many developed countries started Turing to administrative protection and voluntary export restraints. By this time the view that considered South-South trade as part of collective self-reliance' had gone out of fashion. The NIEO attempts replace the liberal trading order by one that was politically managed largely failed. Leis (1980) 'the prosperity of 1950s-73 was special and nonrepeatable' (p. 558). .. 'There is only one solution' expanding South-South Trade. ... 'Can this trade take up the slack left by MDCs and MDCs slow down' (P 560). 'The answer is in the affirmative'. ... 'Currently the LDCs depend on the MDCs for food, fertilizers, cement, steel, and machinery. Taken as a group, LDCs could quickly end their dependence for the first four, and gradually through off their dependence for machinery' (p. 560). 'In the situation where world trade decelerates, customs unions would be more highly praised and would be made more effective, especially in regard to large-scale industries with region-wide economies of scale.'. 'One way would be to follow the customs union route, with LDCs giving preferential treatment to imports from other LDCs'. " proposed a 'new intra-LDC trading network based on prefer3ential tariffs and currency arrangements (p. 564). For an insightful critique of Lewis's paper see Riedel 1984) ----In his 1979 Nobel lecture is not repeatable ... and he argued that the only way to maintain growth dynamism lies in trade among developing countries, which he asserted can 'take up the slack left by MDCs as MDCs slow down' page 560)

Interest in looking for alternative markets in the South swiftly waned in the ensuing two decades decade. Turned out to be a 'non-issue' from then on in the context of rapid growth in the world economy and remarkable economic success of some countries achieved through rapid penetration into developed-country markets. Contrary to Lewis' pessimistic view that developing countries have limited room for export of manufactured goods to developed countries, in substitute for primary products, these countries achieved export success through rapid penetration into developed countries.

---- far-reaching changes in the composition of LDCs exports which significantly weakened any mechanical link between export growth in LDCs and prosperity in the developed countries. The quantitative relationship the lewis belived to have remained the same over a hundred years, and taken as the cornerstone of his thesis was shown to be largely a statistical artefact (Riedel 1984).

• Gained a new lease of life in recent years: coincided with launching of the Doha Development Agenda at the Forth World Trade Organization Ministerial Meeting held in November 2003.

- In WTO trade negotiations, developed countries have begun to emphasise high tariff barriers in developing countries and the resultant untapped trade potential as a counter arguments to developing countries' call for further tariff cuts in developed countries. - Bleak economic prospects in developed countries following the onset of the global financial crisis

and

continued robust growth in some developing countries (China, India and Brazil in particular) have strengthened the case for promoting South-South as a means of sustaining growth in developing countries. The key augment is that there is untapped potential because of the relatively high tariff in developing countries.

New phase: following the Doha round

- Case put forward by developed countries in world trade negotiations as a counterpoint to developing countries call for market access; higher tariff and other trade barriers in developing countries has become a major constraint of reaping trade potential associated with economic expansion in developing countries.
- Economic slowdown in developed countries has given new life to the old case for looking for alternative source of fuel for the trade engine.

Trends and Patterns of South-South Trade

A large number of studies during the period following the NIEO, but the estimates are not comparable because of difference in country coverage and the specific time coverage. The available the most consistent series is reproduced in Table 1. By the mid-1950s, when the UN trade data reporting system had managed to achieve a reasonable country coverage, South-South

trade accounted for . During the 1970s it was one of the dynamic element in international trade. Growth slowed during the early 1980s. The share of South-South trade in world trade (measured on the export side) increased from about 8% in 1980s to 9.3% in 1982 and then declined. **Stood at .. in 1985.** According to GATT data: reached a historically low level of 5.1% in 1986, the lowest since 1973-74. (GATT data are not strictly comparable with UNCTAD data: compiled on the import side and include centrally planned economies).(GATT (1986 – 89, annual) The ration varied in the range of \ldots

Data reported in Table 1. Data are reported for both total merchandise trade and merchandise trade net of fuel (henceforth 'non-fuel trade'). Rapid growth in South-South trade over the past two decades, in particularly during the past ten years. The share of South-South trade in total merchandise trade increased from .. in to .. and then to in ... While world trade grew by ... South-South trade grew by During the period 1990-2007 (2008 and 2009 are excluded from the estimate because of abnormality of trade performance following the onset of the global financial crisis) this period SS trade expanded twice as fast as world trade (10% versus 5%). The value of South -South trade rose from \$ billion in to billion in

In 1990, the dollar value of SS exports was equivalent to 41% of South-South trade. (Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2).

Factors contributing to the dynamics of South-South trade.

Rapid economic growth. Trade liberalisation: China's reduction of tariffs and non-tariff barriers since the mid-1980s. The Uruguay Round and the Information technology Agreement made significant contributions to further liberalisation of Asian trade in the 2nd half of the 1990s. tariffs on information technology products were fully eliminated through the WTO ITA. The open regime for IT products favoured the inflow of FDI and establishment of production networks in Asia (WTO 2003).

There is, however, a clear asymmetry in growth trends on import and export sides (Figures 1 and 2). From about the late 1990s the South-South share in total Southern exports has increased at a faster rate whereas South-South share in their total imports have increased throughout 1990-2008 (discuss). The asymmetry is more prominent for developing Asian countries compared to Latin America, Africa and the Middle East (and high-performing developing countries compared to others in the region). In 2006/7, interregional exports were \$ bullion while intra imports were (lesser amount)...) (Table 2).

(...The way intra-regional trade flows are reported may inflate regional totals. Eg. In ASEAN there is a large discrepancy between intra-regional exports and imports. The discrepancy in this case can be largely expanded by Singapore's exports being counted as intra-ASEAN exports despite the fact that some originate from non-AASEAN countries, WTO 2003)

----- Until about the mid 1990s, a steeper rise of the South-South share in exports than that of import share. Patterns changed since then. This has much to do with the relative strength of demand growth in developing countries (WTO 2003). But as we will see below mostly reflet complementarity between exports to the North and imports within regional production networks. Seems to reflect complementarily between rapid growth in export to Southern markets and intra-South import trade (discuss)

Asia accounts for the lion's share of South-South trade (over 80%); the rise of China has been a key factor in recent rapid growth (Figure 2, Table). In particular rapid growth over the past decade coincided with rapid growth in China's trade following the WTO entry in 2001. Discuss figures on the export and import side. On the import side Asia account for more than two thirds of South-South trade. (This primarily reflects the relatively large size of the markets in Asia). Asia South-South imports have grown at a much faster rate compared to South-South exports. This asymmetry is more prominent among China and other high-performing Asian economics; seems to reflect complementarity between South-South trade and South-North trade (Table 3).

Direction of South-South Trade: An interesting feature of South-South trade is the high degree of regional concentration of South-South trade. This is a common feature across all regions but is more prominent in Asia (Table 3 and 4).

Direction of South-South trade: The importance of Southern markets in total trade differ widely among the four Southers regions. ... In Asia it amounts for four-fifths of that regions South-South trade, the highest among the four regions (WC). For the entire LA region, the ratio is These figures increases when Mexico is excluded. Africa has the lowest share.

Commodity composition of South-South trade. Manufacturing plays a leading role in South-South trade. Manufactured goods have been the most dynamic element of South-South trade Asian South-South trade dominated by manufacturing trades, particularly on the export side, but even on the import-side manufacturing share is much higher that South-South imports in other regions (Table 5) . Fuel continued to play a major role intra-developing country trade. In deed the share of fuel in intra-developing country trade was larger than its share in developing countries' trade with developed countries.

Asia's unique role in global production sharing and the related within global production network; rapid growth of cross-border trade in parts and components and the double counting of trade (Figure 5 and 6, Table 6).

Does this standard trade flow analysis give an exaggerated picture about Asia's dominance in South-South Trade? Commodity composition of South-South trade (Table 5:

Asian South-South trade dominated by manufacturing trades, particularly on the export side, but even on the import-side manufacturing share is much higher compared to South-South imports in other regions.

 Asia's unique role in global production sharing and the related within global production network;

rapid growth of cross-border trade in parts and components and the double counting of trade (Figure 5 and 6, Table 6).

• Does the heavy concentration of parts and component trade give an exaggerated picture about Asia's dominance in South-South Trade?

Compare Table 7 and 8 (estimated net of parts and components) with table 1 and 2 (estimates based on original (unadjusted) data.

Asia's share in South-South trade and the degree of regional concentration turns out to be lower when parts and components are netted out from trade data

<u>but</u>

overall trends/patterns remain unchanged

Discussion based on Table 7 and 8.

Global production sharing seems to infuse an upward bias bias the estimates of both share of SS trade in world trade, and in particular into Asia's share in total South-South trade. However, the general inference relating to growth of SS trade in world trade over the past decade or so and Asia's dominance in South-South trade still remain valid.

Determinants of Trade Flows

This section reports the results of an econometric exercise undertaken to examine whether there is significant difference between South-South and North-North trade in terms of the key determinants affecting trade flows. In particular, we aim to shed light on the issue of whether South trade is 'too little' compared to what one would expect in terms of the standard determinants commonly believed to be important in determining trade flows.

The analytical tool used for this purpose is the gravity model, which has become the 'workhorse' for modeling bilateral trade flows. The standard gravity model postulates that trade between two countries, like the gravitational force between two masses, is a function of their economic size and the geographic distance between them.³ We augment this basic model by adding a number of explanatory variables which have found in previous studies to improve the explanatory power of the estimated trade equations.

$$Ln TRD_{i,j} = \alpha + \beta_1 ln GDP_i + \beta_2 ln GDP_j + \beta_3 ln PGDP_i + \beta_4 ln PGDP_j$$

$$+ \beta_5 lnDST_{i,j} + \beta_6 ADJ_{i,j} + \beta_7 CLN_{ij} + \beta_8 lnTRF_{i,j} + \beta_9 lnRER_{i,j} + \beta_{10}RTA_{ij,} + \beta_{10}RTA_{ij$$

+
$$\beta_{11}$$
DAFC + β_{12} DGFC + γT + ε_{ij}

³ For an introduction to the gravity model and recent methodological and theoretical advances in its applications to trade flow modeling see Bergeijk and Brakman (2010).

in which subscripts *i* and *j* refer to the reporting (the United State) and the partner country, and *ln* denotes natural logarithms. The variables are listed and defined below, with the postulated sign of the regression coefficient in brackets.

X or M	Bilateral trade (export or import) between <i>i</i> and <i>j</i>
GDP	Real gross domestic product (GDP), a measure of the economic size (+)
PGDP	Real GDP per capita, a measure of the stage of development (+)
DST	The distance between the economic centres of i and j (-)
ADJ	A binary dummy variable assuming the value 1 if <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> share a common land border and
	0 otherwise (+)
CLN	A dummy variable which is unity if i and j a common language (a measure of cultural
	affinity) (+)
LPI	An index of the quality of trade-related logistics (LPI)
TRF	Trade-weighted MFN tariff rate (-)
RER	Bilateral real exchange rate (export: +; imports : -)
RTA	A dummy which is unity if both i and j belong to the same
	Referential Trade Agreements (RTA) (+)
DAFC	A binary dummy variable for the Asian financial crisis, 1997-98
DGFC	A binary dummy variable for the global financial crisis, 2008
α	A constant term
Т	A set of time dummy variables to capture year-specific 'fixed' effects
3	An stochastic error term, representing the omitted other influences on bilateral trade

The above equation is the bench-mark model of our analysis; the estimated coefficients give the average relationship between each of the explanatory variables and the dependent variable. To test whether the relationship is statistically different between developed-country and developing-country trading partners, the model is re-estimated with intercept and slope dummy variables for the former.

The model is estimated using annual data over the period 1990-2008 on bilateral trade of the twelve major DEAs: Korea, China, Taiwan, China, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, Thailand, Vietnam,

India and Pakistan. The data set covers trade with all trading partner countries for which data are available for at least 5 consecutive years during the period under study.

Trade data are from the Comtrade database. Trade data are disaggregated into components and final products following the procedures detailed in Athukorala (2005). Data on GDP extracted from the World Bank world development indicator database. The nominal (US\$) trade data extracted from the Comtrade database are converted into real terms using US trade price indices extracted from the US Bureau of labour Statistics database. Data on *LPI* come from the newly-developed *Logistics Performance Index* database of the World Bank (Arvis et al., 2007), which provides the first in-depth, cross-country assessment of trade-related logistic provisions. It covers 150 economies, including 28 in developing Asia. It is based on a worldwide survey of global freight forwarders and express carriers, complemented by a number of qualitative and quantitative indicators of the domestic logistics environment, institutions, and performance of supply chains. The data on bilateral distance come from the trade patterns database of the bilateral great-circle distance between major cities of each economy compiled by taking into account the trading significance of each city in each economy. Export shares for 2000 are used in compiling the distance measure for each economy. For a complete listing of variables and data sources see Table 9.

Regression estimates for manufacturing exports and imports are reported in Table 10 (results for nonfuel trade and manufacturing excluding parts and components too have been estimated). In each case, the first equation is the benchmark model showing the average relationship for trade with all countries. The second is the 'dummy variable' regression estimated to test if the hypothesized relationships between the dependent variable and the set of explanatory variables varies between trade with developed (Northern) and developing (Southern) trading partners. (The variable ST takes value 1 for bilateral trade with Southern trading partners and 0 for trade with Northern trading partners.) Note that in each case the coefficient for 'original' variable is what we would have obtained by estimating the regression for the Northern trading partners alone, and the coefficient of the 'dummy-interaction' variable measures the difference in the slope estimate for trade with the Southern partners, relative to the Northern partners. $PGDP_I$ and $PGDP_J$ could not be retained in the final estimates because of their high correlation with the counterpart GDP variables. The coefficients of the two standard gravity variables (GDP in pairs, and the distance) are statistically significant with the hypotheses signs in all cases. The coefficient of the distance variable is well within the range of 0.7 to 1.20 commonly found in various gravity model applications. The coefficient of GDP in pairs is consistency closer to unity. The LPI performs remarkably well in explaining both imports and export, with statistically significant and positive coefficients in all cases. The other control variables are not uniformly significant across all countries, both on import and export sides. We have retained these variables in a given equation only it the coefficients carried the expected sign with a t-ratio of more than unity. In terms of the overall fit the export equations generally performs well (with R²s of closer to 0.70).

Key findings:

- Asian countries' domestic economic activity (measured by GDP) has a much greater effect on intra-developing country (South-South) trade (both exports to and imports from other developing countries) than on their trade with the Northern trading partners. By contrast, a given change in GDP in developing-partner countries seems to have a lesser impact on trade with these countries compared to trade with developed countries. (discuss magnitudes).
- The magnitude of negative impact of distance-related trade cost seems significantly greater on South-South trade compared to South-North trade. (discuss magnitudes)
- Tariff has a significant negative effect on both imports and exports, and the magnitude of the impact is significantly higher on experts to developing country partners (one percentage point cut in tariff in developed countries is associated with 0.4 percentage point increase in exports to 0.67 percentage point increase in exports associated with a similar tariff reduction in developing countries).
- The estimated coefficient of the dummy intercept variable (ST) suggests that, after controlling for the other variables, the level of Asian export to the other developing countries is about 13% higher than the average level of trade with developed countries. On the import side the estimate is about 6%.

Results: Table 9 (for manufacturing trade only. Estimates for total non-fuel trade and 'final trade' (trade after netting out parts and components) are to be added.0

• Asian countries' level of domestic economic activity (measured by GDP) has a much greater effect on South-South trade (both on export and import sides) than on their trade with the Northern trading partners.

By contrast, a given change in GDP in Asia's developing-partner countries seems to have a lesser impact on Asia's trade with these countries compared to trade with developed countries.

- The magnitude of the (negative) impact of distance-related trade cost seems significantly greater on South-South trade compared to South-North trade.
 - Possibly reflect the 'small volume factor'
 - Also, an increasing share of trade with development countries now takes the form of Air cargo.

RTA dummy is positive and highly significant. Could well be dominated by trade links with ASEAN. Not consistent with the results from other studies (WTO 2003) (Greenaway and Milner 1989; WTO 2003). Despite their increasing popularity, regional and bilateral trading agreements have not contributed in a significant way to the rapid expansion of intra-developing country trade (WC). (Not all preference are used due to additional transaction costs such as increased documentation requirements linked to ROOs)

Concluding Remarks

South-South trade has been a dynamic component of global trade expansion over the past two decades. A clear expansionary trend (WC) over the past decade, but it is rather narrowly based in regional terms (WC). Asia, in particular East Asia dominate the scene, but there in clear growth in Africa and Latin America as well. Recent expansion of South-South trade, but this has not resulted from concerted government policies applied at the subregional levels, but from the combined action of market forces and government policies which are largely neutral between South-South and South-North trade. Trade among developing countries seems to complementary rather that competitive with South-North trade. ... There is no evidence to make a case for isolating South-South trade from the trade matrix as an independent and alternative development strategy (change words)

- There seems to be a strong complementarily between South-South and South-North trade. The growth of South-South trade has occurred predominantly on the import side, and the degree of dependence of Southern countries on Northern markets has increased over time.
- Direct policy intervention to mould trade patterns is likely to hinder the on-going process mutually beneficial of global integration.
- As far as Developing Asian countries are concerned, there is no evidence to suggest that growth of South-South trade has lagged behind growing trading potential of these countries.
- Quality of trade related logistics appears to be a key determinant of trade.
- Further reduction in developing-country tariffs would increase South-South trade, but the magnitude of the increase would be rather modest (because tariffs have already come down to historically low levels, even though developing country tariff levels are still higher).

References

- Amsden, Alice H. (1976), 'Trade in manufactures Between Developing Countries', *Economic Journal*, 86, 778-790.
- Ando, M. and F. Kimura (2010), 'The Special Patterns of Production and Distribution Networks in East Asia', in Prema-chandra Athukorala (ed), *The Rise of Asia: Trade and Investment in Global Perspective*, London: Routledge, 61-88.
- Arvis, J-F., M. A. Mustra, J. Panzer, L. Ojala and T. Naula (2007), Connecting to Compete: Trade Logistics in the Global Economy: The Logistic Performance Index and Its Indicators, Washington DC: World Bank.
- Athukorala Prema-chandra (2005) "Product Fragmentation and Trade Patterns in East Asia", Asian *Economic Papers*, 4(3), 1-28.
- Athukorala, P. (2005), 'Production Fragmentation and Trade Patterns in East Asia', *Asian Economic Papers*, 4(3), 1-27.

Athukorala, Prema-chnadra (2010) 'Production Networks and Trade Patterns in East Asia:

Regionalization or Globalization?', ADB Working Paper Series on Regional Economic

Integration No. 56. Manila: Asian Development Bank.

- Bergeijk, P. A. G. and S. Brakman (2010), *The Gravity Model in International Trade: Advances and Applications*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bhagwati, Iagdish (1996), ' dependence and Interdependence: developing Countries in the World economy' in Douglas A. Arwin (ed) *Political Economy and International Economics*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 469-490.
- Coulibaly, Souleymane and Lionel Fontagne (2005), 'South South Trade: Geography matters', *Journal of African Economics*, 15(2), 313-341.
- Foroutan, Faezeh and Lant Pritchett (1993), Intra-subsaharan African Trade: Is it Too Little?', *Journal* of African Economies, 2(1), 74-105.
- Gardner, Richard N. (1980), *Sterling-Dollar Diplomacy in Current Perspective*, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Greenaway David, Chris Milner (1990), "South-South trade: theory, evidence and Policy", *The World Bank Research Observer*, 5(1): 47-68.
- Havrylyshyn, Oil (1985), 'The direction of developing country trade: empirical evedence of differences between South-South and North-South trade', Journal of Development Economics, 19(3), 225-282.

- Havrylyshyn, Oil and Engin Cican (1985), 'Intra-industry Trade among developing countries' Journal of Development Economics, 18(2 & 3), 253-272
- Havrylyshyn, Oil and Martin Wolf (1983), 'Recent Trends in Trade among Developing Countries', European Economic Review, 21, 333-343.
- Kowalski, Przemyslaw and Beru Shepherd (2006), 'South-South Trade in Goods', *OECD Trade Policy Working Paper 40*, Paris: OECD.
- Krueger, Anne O. (1977), Growth, Distortions and Patterns of Trade Among Many Countries, Princeton Studies in International Finance No. 40, Princeton NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Lall, Sanjaya (1984), 'South-South Economic Cooperation and Global Negotiations', in Jagdish Bhawati and J. Ruggie (eds.), *Power, Passion and Purpose: Prospects for South-South Cooperation*, Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Lewis, W. Arthur (1983), 'The Slowing Down of the Engine of growth', American Economic review, 70(4), 555-564.
- Mayda, Anna M. and Chad Steinberg (2009), 'Do South-South Trade Agreements Increase Trade? Commodity Level Evidence from CMESA', *Canadian Journal of Economics*, 44(4), 1361-1389.
- OECD (2006), 'South-South Trade Vita for Development', OECD Observer, August, 1-7.
- Riedel, James (1984), 'Trade as the Engine of growth in Developing Countries, Revisited', Economic Journal, 94(373), 56-73.
- Stewart, Frances (1976), 'The Direction of International Trade: Gains and Losses for the Third World',

in Gerald K. Helleiner (ed.), A World Divided: The Less developed Countries in the

International Economy, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 89-110,

- Streeten, Paul (1982), 'A Cool Look at 'Outward-looking' Strategies for Development', World Economy, 5(2), 159-169.
- UNCTAD (2005), Trade and Development Report 2005, Geneva: UNCTAD.
- Ventura-Dias, Vivianne (1989), 'The Structure of South-South Trade', in Vivianne Ventura-Dias (ed.), South-South Trade: Trends, Issues, and Obstacles to Its Growth, New York: Praeger, 25-81.
- WTO (Wolrd Trade Organisation) (2003), 'Selected Features of South South Trade Development in the 1990-2001 Period', in *World Trade Report 2003*, Geneva: WTO, 22-45.

	\$ billion	Share in world trade	Share in developing country trade	Developing country share in world trade
1955	5.8	7.1	25.3	28.0
1960	6.1	5.7	23.6	24.0
1965	7.5	4.9	22.7	21.4
1970	10.9	4.1	21.5	19.1
1975	49.1	6.7	24.9	26.7
1979	101.1	7.2	25.6	28.0
1980	138.7	8.1	26.1	30.9
1981	149.0	8.9	28.8	30.7
1982	144.5	9.3	31.7	29.2
1983	132.9	8.8	31.7	27.8
1984	131.5	8.2	30.3	27.2
1985	126.1	7.8	30.2	25.8

Table 1: South-South trade in world trade, 1955-1985 (selected years)¹

(1) Based on exporter records. Only transactions between industrial and developing countries are included

(2) Source: Ventura-Dias (1989) (based on UNCTAD database)

Table 2:South-South Trade in World Trade, 1990-2009

(a) Total merchandise trade

		Expe	orts		Imports					
	\$ Billion	S-S share in total developing country exports	S-S trade in world trade (%)	Developing country share in World exports	\$ Billion	S-S share in total developing country exports	S-S trade in world trade (%)	Developing country share in World exports		
1990	208.5	35.54	7.4	20.71	200.9	33.92	6.7	19.77		
1991	237.6	35.38	8.1	22.77	25.5	33.00	7.3	22.14		
1992	269.1	35.60	7.7	21.73	260.1	32.58	7.3	22.30		
1993	296.6	35.80	8.6	23.89	286.1	31.93	8.2	25.64		
1994	360.5	37.05	9.1	24.60	333.1	32.28	8.3	25.82		
1995	450.6	37.96	9.5	25.08	417.0	32.70	8.7	26.57		
1996	480.0	37.40	9.5	25.51	460.7	33.95	9.0	26.55		
1997	526.7	39.00	10.1	25.90	504.9	35.20	9.5	26.99		
1998	474.5	35.83	9.1	25.52	455.6	34.74	8.6	24.86		
1999	492.7	33.73	9.1	27.11	501.7	36.06	9.1	25.15		
2000	629.9	33.67	10.3	30.45	671.8	38.63	10.6	27.49		
2001	623.7	35.10	10.5	29.91	666.3	39.23	10.8	27.64		
2002	690.6	35.97	11.1	30.75	725.2	40.21	11.3	28.14		
2003	903.0	39.39	12.4	31.44	896.1	42.28	12.0	28.36		
2004	1,097.9	37.97	12.4	32.74	1,180.2	44.10	13.0	29.49		
2005	1,429.8	41.31	14.3	34.72	1,456.8	46.46	14.2	30.50		
2006	1,764.7	41.48	15.2	36.55	1,805.8	48.52	15.2	31.32		
2007	2,027.2	42.58	15.3	35.96	2,166.7	49.70	15.9	32.07		
2008	2,443.8	42.46	16.0	37.63	2,722.7	51.48	17.3	33.70		
2009	2,020.9	45.94	17.3	37.76	2,084.2	50.72	17.7	34.83		

(b) Non-fuel trade

		Exp	orts		Imports					
	\$ Billion	S-S share in total developing country exports	S-S trade in world trade (%)	Developing country share in World exports	\$ Billon	S-S share in total developing country exports	S-S trade in world trade (%)	Developing country share in World exports		
1990	191.3	37.59	7.2	19.16	156.0	29.36	5.9	20.00		
1991	217.5	39.20	8.0	20.32	178.8	28.78	6.5	22.48		
1992	243.6	39.20	7.5	19.21	208.8	28.57	6.4	22.48		
1993	269.4	38.55	8.3	21.62	232.6	28.20	7.3	25.92		
1994	332.4	39.56	9.0	22.62	279.3	29.05	7.6	26.10		
1995	419.0	40.24	9.4	23.32	348.7	29.42	7.8	26.66		
1996	440.0	40.24	9.4	23.48	375.1	30.09	8.0	26.68		
1997	476.9	40.06	9.8	24.44	410.5	31.27	8.4	27.01		
1998	439.8	37.46	9.0	23.97	388.2	31.75	7.9	24.77		
1999	444.8	35.84	8.9	24.77	411.2	32.14	8.0	24.93		
2000	553.4	36.98	10.0	27.12	530.8	34.14	9.4	27.39		
2001	556.7	38.42	10.4	26.97	539.8	35.34	9.8	27.59		
2002	621.0	38.96	10.9	28.09	603.6	36.97	10.4	28.12		
2003	780.2	41.07	11.8	28.81	743.3	39.06	11.1	28.36		
2004	990.2	41.67	12.5	30.02	966.3	40.65	12.0	29.50		
2005	1,206.0	43.42	13.8	31.82	1,163.6	42.77	13.1	30.66		
2006	1,459.4	44.32	14.5	32.78	1,389.2	43.55	13.7	31.40		
2007	1,781.7	46.40	15.4	33.19	1,680.3	44.91	14.4	32.00		
2008	2,084.9	47.72	16.3	34.07	1,999.2	45.74	15.4	33.74		
2009	1,805.7	49.69	17.7	35.62	1,624.4	46.21	16.1	34.79		

Table 2: South-South Trade by Major Regions (Non-fuel trade), 1990-01^{*}, 2000-01^{*}, 2006-07^{*}, 2008 and 2009

		,	(a) Expo	rts			(b) Imports				
		\$billion	Share in exports (%)	Geographi c compositio n (%)	Intra-Asian share (%)	\$billion	Share in imports (%)	Geographic composition (%)	Intra-regional share (%)		
Developing	1990-91	180	42.0	88.0	84.7	145	33.7	86.8	89.8		
Asia	2000-01	443	42.9	79.8	82.1	383	41.4	71.6	90.0		
	2006-07	1,293	49.6	79.8	80.1	1,063	48.6	69.3	88.4		
	2008	1,646	52.1	78.9	76.8	1,319	49.4	66.0	85.8		
	2009	1,450	54.2	80.3	77.2	1,113	49.6	68.5	84.9		
Middle East	1990-91	7	25.1	3.6	38.2	9	14.3	5.0	29.2		
	2000-01	29	32.5	5.3	38.6	47	25.7	8.7	26.6		
	2006-07	108	39.4	6.6	42.3	163	35.3	10.5	21.2		
	2008	134	36.8	6.4	41.1	241	38.1	12.0	21.8		
	2009	110	35.9	6.1	35.8	171	36.6	10.5	18.4		
Africa	1990-91	2	19.8	0.8	62.4	2	13.3	1.1	26.5		
	2000-01	18	28.5	3.2	54.8	28	28.6	5.3	35.5		
	2006-07	46	34.0	2.8	56.3	92	38.7	6.0	24.9		
	2008	70	39.6	3.4	51.2	137	41.6	6.9	21.8		
	2009	48	40.9	2.6	48.4	100	43.8	6.2	21.4		
Latin America	1990-91	16	23.2	7.6	61.7	12	16.0	7.1	77.9		
	2000-01	65	22.6	11.7	71.7	77	23.1	14.4	61.8		
	2006-07	174	31.1	10.7	58.9	217	37.0	14.1	49.3		
	2008	235	35.3	11.3	58.7	302	40.9	15.1	47.2		
	2009	199	37.0	11.0	51.2	240	41.6	14.8	45.1		
Latin America	1990-91	14	27.8	6.7	53.2	10	22.8	5.7	76.6		
excluding	2000-01	58	41.4	10.5	63.7	59	35.9	11.1	63.0		
Mexico	2006-07	156	46.0	9.6	50.5	150	45.2	9.8	53.6		
	2008	209	49.3	10.0	51.6	225	49.1	11.3	49.0		
	2009	179	52.8	9.9	45.1	175	48.6	10.7	48.2		

Total South	1990-91	204	38.4	100.0	100.0	167	29.1	100.0	100.0
	2000-01	555	37.7	100.0	100.0	535	34.7	100.0	100.0
	2006-07	1,621	45.4	100.0	100.0	1,535	44.2	100.0	100.0
	2008	2,085	47.7	100.0	100.0	1,999	45.7	100.0	100.0
	2009	1,806	49.7	100.0	100.0	1,624	46.2	100.0	100.0

*Two-year average.

Table 3: South-South Trade in Asia

		Exports Imports							
		\$billion	Share in exports (%)	Geographic composition (%)	Intra-Asian share (%)	\$billion	Share in imports (%)	Geographic composition (%)	Intra-Asian share (%)
Northeast Asia	1990-91	127.6	45.9	71.1	86.3	89.0	38.1	66.4	92.5
	2000-01	261.7	43.6	59.1	81.9	210.6	42.7	59.7	92.0
	2006-07	840.4	48.3	64.9	79.7	597.5	49.3	63.4	88.7
	2008	1,083.6	50.3	65.8	76.2	695.4	49.5	61.6	85.6
	2009	966.2	52.2	66.6	77.2	609.4	49.2	64.5	85.0
China, PR ¹	1990-91	107.9	51.3	60.2	90.1	82.0	45.8	59.6	95.0
	2000-01	194.9	43.5	44.0	85.4	184.3	47.0	51.6	93.2
	2006-07	662.6	46.8	51.2	81.1	518.6	51.6	54.6	89.4
	2008	859.2	48.5	52.2	77.5	590.7	51.1	52.2	85.8
	2009	751.0	49.7	51.8	78.0	529.7	50.8	56.0	85.1
Korea, RP	1990-91	19.7	29.1	10.9	65.7	8.7	17.1	7.3	75.3
	2000-01	66.9	43.9	15.1	71.6	26.3	27.2	8.1	84.4
	2006-07	177.8	54.5	13.8	74.4	78.9	38.7	8.8	84.1
	2008	224.4	58.5	13.6	71.4	104.7	42.2	9.4	84.8
	2009	215.2	63.3	14.8	74.3	79.7	40.9	8.5	84.3
Southeast Asia	1990-91	44.9	36.6	24.9	84.4	36.1	27.3	28.2	87.9
	2000-01	154.4	42.8	34.9	87.2	113.8	39.2	32.0	92.8
	2006-07	369.3	53.6	28.6	86.7	266.8	49.4	27.0	93.0
	2008	455.2	56.8	27.7	83.6	341.9	50.7	28.1	92.1
	2009	375.3	59.7	25.9	84.7	245.4	50.9	24.0	91.8
Indonesia	1990-91	5.9	36.1	3.3	80.8	3.8	22.2	3.3	79.0
	2000-01	19.0	43.0	4.3	79.0	8.6	37.7	2.6	86.2
	2006-07	40.1	50.6	3.1	81.2	20.8	49.6	2.2	88.7
	2008	53.2	54.7	3.2	80.0	47.6	53.9	4.0	89.7
	2009	46.4	55.6	3.2	82.0	39.7	56.6	4.0	90.2
Malaysia	1990-91	11.6	43.9	6.5	89.9	7.8	27.5	5.9	90.3
	2000-01	37.1	44.1	8.4	89.3	25.6	36.9	7.0	94.6

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$										
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2006-07	74.9	51.7	5.8	86.7	57.9	49.2	5.9	93.0
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2008	92.4	56.9	5.6	83.7	63.1	49.5	5.2	91.6
Philippines 190-91 1.5 18.0 0.8 83.8 2.2 25.3 1.8 83. 2000-01 9.6 27.6 2.2 93.6 10.1 34.8 2.9 90. 2006 07 20.1 42.0 1.6 95.6 19.4 44.3 2.0 93. 2008 20.7 43.6 1.3 94.8 21.6 48.6 1.8 93. 2009 14.8 39.3 1.0 93.8 18.1 51.1 1.8 92. Singapore 1990-91 18.3 39.7 10.2 87.4 15.8 31.5 11.7 92. 2000-01 60.1 50.1 13.6 89.8 44.0 42.1 12.1 95. 2008 183.4 66.5 11.1 87.2 110.7 50.2 88.9 94. 1ailand 1990-91 7.6 29.8 4.2 71.6 6.5 24.4 5.4 81.		2009	80.7	60.3	5.6	85.4	53.4	52.2	5.3	90.2
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Philippines	1990-91	1.5	18.0	0.8	83.8	2.2	25.3	1.8	83.1
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2000-01	9.6	27.6	2.2	93.6	10.1	34.8	2.9	90.7
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2006-07	20.1	42.0	1.6	95.6	19.4	44.3	2.0	93.5
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2008	20.7	43.6	1.3	94.8	21.6	48.6	1.8	93.2
Singapore1990-9118.339.710.2 87.4 15.8 31.5 11.7 92.2000-0160.150.113.689.844.042.112.195.2006-07156.863.412.290.298.250.99.795.2008183.466.511.187.2110.750.28.894.2009154.767.610.787.687.949.98.494.Thailand1990-917.629.84.271.66.524.45.481.2000-0124.437.75.582.216.835.35.087.2006-0767.249.55.280.144.044.74.689.200978.654.35.478.146.347.04.690.Viet Nam2000-013.733.50.879.66.649.41.896.2006-0710.229.80.878.925.959.32.694.2006-0710.229.80.878.925.959.32.694.2006-0710.229.80.199.02.168.40.599.0ther2000-010.528.90.199.02.168.40.599.200817.434.71.176.538.760.33.193.Other2000-010.528.90.199.0 <td></td> <td>2009</td> <td>14.8</td> <td>39.3</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>93.8</td> <td>18.1</td> <td>51.1</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>92.8</td>		2009	14.8	39.3	1.0	93.8	18.1	51.1	1.8	92.8
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Singapore	1990-91	18.3	39.7	10.2	87.4	15.8	31.5	11.7	92.8
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2000-01	60.1	50.1	13.6	89.8	44.0	42.1	12.1	95.1
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2006-07	156.8	63.4	12.2	90.2	98.2	50.9	9.7	95.4
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2008	183.4	66.5	11.1	87.2	110.7	50.2	8.8	94.9
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2009	154.7	67.6	10.7	87.6	87.9	49.9	8.4	94.1
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Thailand	1990-91	7.6	29.8	4.2	71.6	6.5	24.4	5.4	81.8
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2000-01	24.4	37.7	5.5	82.2	16.8	35.3	5.0	87.5
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2006-07	67.2	49.5	5.2	80.1	44.0	44.7	4.6	89.2
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2008	87.0	52.8	5.3	76.8	56.9	45.8	4.9	88.0
Viet Nam 2000-01 3.7 33.5 0.8 79.6 6.6 49.4 1.8 96. 2006-07 10.2 29.8 0.8 78.9 25.9 59.3 2.6 94. 2008 17.4 34.7 1.1 76.5 38.7 60.3 3.1 93. Other 2000-01 0.5 28.9 0.1 99.0 2.1 68.4 0.5 99. 2008 1.3 28.8 0.1 94.4 3.2 79.3 0.2 99. South Asia 1990-91 7.2 26.7 4.0 57.9 5.1 28.4 5.3 66. 2000-01 24.2 39.0 5.5 52.3 19.2 43.6 7.6 65. 2000-07 74.8 48.5 5.8 52.1 67.5 46.1 8.6 74. 2008 95.5 53.9 5.8 51.4 83.6 49.3 9.1 69. 1ndia		2009	78.6	54.3	5.4	78.1	46.3	47.0	4.6	90.6
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Viet Nam	2000-01	3.7	33.5	0.8	79.6	6.6	49.4	1.8	96.6
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2006-07	10.2	29.8	0.8	78.9	25.9	59.3	2.6	94.5
Other2000-010.528.90.199.02.168.40.599.20081.328.80.194.43.279.30.299.South Asia1990-917.226.74.057.95.128.45.366.2000-0124.239.05.552.319.243.67.665.2006-0774.848.55.852.167.546.18.674.200895.553.95.851.483.649.39.169.2009100.956.67.048.781.753.310.569.India1990-914.425.22.457.81.520.82.649.2000-0117.542.23.951.88.121.82.345.2006-0760.053.14.652.442.538.33.461.		2008	17.4	34.7	1.1	76.5	38.7	60.3	3.1	93.8
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Other	2000-01	0.5	28.9	0.1	99.0	2.1	68.4	0.5	99.5
South Asia 1990-91 7.2 26.7 4.0 57.9 5.1 28.4 5.3 66. 2000-01 24.2 39.0 5.5 52.3 19.2 43.6 7.6 65. 2006-07 74.8 48.5 5.8 52.1 67.5 46.1 8.6 74. 2008 95.5 53.9 5.8 51.4 83.6 49.3 9.1 69. 2009 100.9 56.6 7.0 48.7 81.7 53.3 10.5 69. India 1990-91 4.4 25.2 2.4 57.8 1.5 20.8 2.6 49. 2000-01 17.5 42.2 3.9 51.8 8.1 21.8 2.3 45. 2006-07 60.0 53.1 4.6 52.4 42.5 38.3 3.4 61.		2008	1.3	28.8	0.1	94.4	3.2	79.3	0.2	99.6
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	South Asia	1990-91	7.2	26.7	4.0	57.9	5.1	28.4	5.3	66.1
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		2000-01	24.2	39.0	5.5	52.3	19.2	43.6	7.6	65.8
200895.553.95.851.483.649.39.169.2009100.956.67.048.781.753.310.569.India1990-914.425.22.457.81.520.82.649.2000-0117.542.23.951.88.121.82.345.2006-0760.053.14.652.442.538.33.461.		2006-07	74.8	48.5	5.8	52.1	67.5	46.1	8.6	74.1
2009 100.9 56.6 7.0 48.7 81.7 53.3 10.5 69. India 1990-91 4.4 25.2 2.4 57.8 1.5 20.8 2.6 49. 2000-01 17.5 42.2 3.9 51.8 8.1 21.8 2.3 45. 2006-07 60.0 53.1 4.6 52.4 42.5 38.3 3.4 61.		2008	95.5	53.9	5.8	51.4	83.6	49.3	9.1	69.7
India 1990-91 4.4 25.2 2.4 57.8 1.5 20.8 2.6 49. 2000-01 17.5 42.2 3.9 51.8 8.1 21.8 2.3 45. 2006-07 60.0 53.1 4.6 52.4 42.5 38.3 3.4 61.		2009	100.9	56.6	7.0	48.7	81.7	53.3	10.5	69.7
2000-01 17.5 42.2 3.9 51.8 8.1 21.8 2.3 45. 2006-07 60.0 53.1 4.6 52.4 42.5 38.3 3.4 61.	India	1990-91	4.4	25.2	2.4	57.8	1.5	20.8	2.6	49.2
2006-07 60.0 53.1 4.6 52.4 42.5 38.3 3.4 61.		2000-01	17.5	42.2	3.9	51.8	8.1	21.8	2.3	45.6
		2006-07	60.0	53.1	4.6	52.4	42.5	38.3	3.4	61.6

	2008	83.0	55.7	5.0	51.6	63.4	47.2	7.1	67.2
	2009	88.9	58.2	6.1	47.9	63.6	51.7	8.5	67.0
Other	1990-91	2.8	29.4	1.6	58.0	3.6	37.2	3.0	81.6
	2000-01	6.7	32.7	1.5	53.6	11.1	49.2	4.2	69.3
	2006-07	14.8	35.3	1.2	51.2	25.0	48.4	2.9	82.7
	2008	12.4	44.2	0.8	50.3	20.2	59.1	1.9	79.1
	2009	12.0	47.0	0.8	54.2	18.1	61.3	2.0	81.2
Central and West Asia	1990-91	1.9	34.5	0.5	86.7	1.0	23.7	0.6	52.3
	2000-01	2.1	35.0	0.5	82.5	1.2	24.1	0.6	55.4
	2006-07	8.2	41.7	0.6	85.5	7.2	27.3	1.0	67.6
	2008	11.3	40.8	0.7	77.9	10.3	29.5	1.2	67.4
	2009	7.4	46.1	0.5	89.7	7.4	27.8	0.9	71.7
Pacific	1990-91	0.1	14.6	0.0	99.8	0.1	20.6	0.1	98.5
	2000-01	0.3	16.2	0.1	97.5	0.4	27.3	0.1	76.9
	2006-07	0.2	25.3	0.0	97.2	0.5	27.0	0.0	96.3
	2008	0.2	16.6	0.0	92.8	1.0	31.6	0.1	96.6
	2009	0.1	19.4	0.0	93.8	0.4	33.2	0.0	96.2
All Asian countries	1990-91	179.7	42.0	100.0	84.7	130.3	33.7	100.0	89.8
	2000-01	442.7	42.9	100.0	82.1	345.2	41.4	100.0	90.0
	2006-07	1,292.9	49.6	100.0	80.1	939.5	48.6	100.0	88.4
	2008	1,645.8	52.1	100.0	76.8	1132.1	49.4	100.0	85.8
	2009	1,449.9	54.2	100.0	77.2	944.4	49.6	100.0	84.9

1. Including Hong Kong SAR and Macao

Table 4: Direction of Non-fuel Trade, 2006-07

(a) Exports

	DEA	NEA	PRC	SEA	SOA	CWA	Pacific	MEA	Africa	LACR	South ³	North ³	\$ bliion
Developing Asia (DEA)	39.8	24.0	21.0	11.7	3.4	0.6	0.1	4.0	2.6	3.3	49.7	47.8	2601
Northeast Asia (NEA)	38.5	27.3	24.1	7.5	2.9	0.7	0.1	3.4	2.5	3.9	48.3	49.3	1738
China (PRC) ¹	38.0	27.3	23.4	7.0	2.8	0.8	0.1	3.2	2.4	3.3	46.9	51.1	1413
Korea (KOR)	40.6	27.0	27.0	9.5	3.1	0.5	0.5	4.6	3.2	6.3	54.6	41.4	326
Southeast Asia (SEA)	46.5	18.7	15.8	23.7	3.9	0.0	0.2	3.1	1.9	2.2	53.7	43.2	688
Indonesia	42.6	14.8	12.1	23.3	4.3	0.0	0.1	3.6	1.9	1.8	49.8	48.0	106
Malaysia	44.9	16.7	14.5	24.4	3.6	0.0	0.1	3.5	1.8	1.7	51.8	45.8	145
Philippines	40.3	23.7	20.4	15.8	0.7	0.0	0.1	0.8	0.3	0.8	42.1	53.7	48
Singapore	57.2	23.2	19.3	29.5	4.3	0.0	0.3	2.2	1.4	2.7	63.4	32.7	247
Thailand	39.7	16.7	14.8	19.5	3.4	0.0	0.1	4.6	2.9	2.5	49.6	47.7	135
Viet Nam	23.6	11.3	8.6	11.3	0.8	0.1	0.1	1.8	1.8	2.6	29.8	66.3	34
Other	28.6	18.6	18.5	9.8	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.9	30.2	69.7	2
Southern Asia (SOA)	25.3	11.7	10.5	6.5	6.5	0.5	0.0	14.7	6.1	2.4	48.5	50.5	154
India	27.8	13.7	12.2	8.1	5.8	0.3	0.0	15.5	7.2	2.6	53.2	46.0	113
Other	18.4	6.5	5.8	2.3	8.3	1.2	0.0	12.7	3.1	1.6	35.7	63.0	41
Central and West Asia (CWA)	35.8	20.4	19.1	0.3	4.9	10.2	0.0	4.9	0.9	0.4	42.0	57.7	20
Pacific	24.8	9.9	9.6	5.4	0.4	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.2	0.5	25.5	65.1	1
Middle East (MEA)	15.8	3.8	3.2	2.0	8.3	1.7	0.1	16.7	6.0	1.0	39.5	44.6	272
Africa	9.1	4.4	3.4	2.0	2.7	0.1	0.0	4.4	19.2	1.4	34.0	61.7	134
South Africa	12.5	7.6	5.5	2.5	2.3	0.1	0.0	3.6	14.4	1.8	32.3	65.8	52
Other	6.9	2.4	2.1	1.6	2.9	0.0	0.0	4.8	22.3	1.1	35.2	59.1	82
Latin America (LACR) ²	9.3	6.6	5.2	1.4	1.3	0.1	0.0	1.4	2.1	18.3	31.2	66.6	559
Brazil	13.3	8.6	7.2	2.4	2.2	0.1	0.0	3.6	5.2	25.0	47.2	50.3	137
Mexico	1.6	1.2	1.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	6.1	8.2	91.6	220
Other	15.0	11.2	8.5	1.8	2.0	0.0	0.0	1.3	2.0	27.2	45.5	50.4	201
Developing countries (South)	32.0	19.0	16.5	8.9	3.4	0.6	0.1	4.6	3.4	5.4	45.4	51.0	3567
Developed countries (North)	11.8	6.8	5.1	3.0	1.4	0.4	0.1	3.9	2.4	4.8	22.9	76.0	7848
World	18.7	11.0	9.0	5.1	2.1	0.5	0.1	4.1	2.6	5.1	30.6	67.5	10807

	DEA	NEA	PRC	SEA	SOA	CWA	Pacific	MEA		LACR	South ³	North ³	\$ bliion
									Africa				
Developing Asia (DEA)	43.0	26.7	20.1	14.0	2.0	0.3	0.1	1.6	1.1	3.0	48.7	42.8	2184
Northeast Asia (NEA)	43.7	30.4	22.7	11.2	1.7	0.4	0.1	1.0	0.9	3.7	49.4	41.2	1366
China (PRC) ¹	46.1	32.0	22.6	11.8	1.9	0.4	0.1	1.0	0.9	3.6	51.6	37.8	1124
Korea (KOR)	32.7	23.0	23.0	8.4	1.0	0.2	0.1	0.9	1.1	4.2	38.8	57.2	242
Southeast Asia (SEA)	46.0	21.6	16.2	22.5	1.7	0.0	0.1	1.1	0.7	1.6	49.4	44.1	580
Indonesia	44.5	21.0	15.6	21.6	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	1.0	2.1	48.3	45.7	81
Malaysia	45.8	22.4	16.8	22.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.9	1.9	49.3	44.0	126
Philippines	41.4	19.5	13.1	20.4	1.2	0.0	0.4	0.8	0.2	1.9	44.3	48.0	47
Singapore	48.6	20.9	15.7	26.3	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.4	0.8	50.9	42.4	202
Thailand	40.2	20.2	15.4	17.7	2.0	0.0	0.3	1.7	1.2	2.4	45.4	49.6	130
Viet Nam	56.2	32.5	23.8	21.0	2.7	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.5	2.0	59.6	30.5	46
Other	73.8	34.3	29.8	37.4	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	74.1	18.9	3
Southern Asia (SOA)	34.1	18.8	15.6	10.4	4.3	0.5	0.2	6.2	3.1	2.7	46.0	44.2	198
India	32.4	20.1	16.4	10.2	1.8	0.1	0.3	7.0	3.7	3.2	46.4	51.6	131
Other	37.4	16.2	14.0	10.9	9.2	1.1	0.0	4.5	1.7	1.7	45.3	29.9	67
Central and West Asia (CWA)	18.6	12.5	10.6	1.0	1.5	3.6	0.0	6.9	0.4	1.5	27.5	71.8	39
Pacific	26.0	8.3	7.1	11.4	2.3	0.0	4.0	0.1	0.5	0.4	27.0	70.9	2
Middle East (MEA)	22.9	12.3	9.2	4.3	5.7	0.6	0.0	7.5	2.8	2.2	35.4	58.3	459
Africa	20.1	12.6	10.6	4.0	3.3	0.1	0.0	4.8	9.6	4.3	38.8	58.2	238
South Africa	24.2	16.0	13.3	5.7	2.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.4	5.0	34.6	63.0	60
Other	18.7	11.5	9.7	3.4	3.6	0.1	0.0	5.8	11.7	4.0	40.2	56.6	178
Latin America (LACR) ²	18.0	13.8	10.4	3.3	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.4	18.3	37.1	57.8	584
Brazil	22.3	16.2	12.5	4.9	1.1	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.3	17.9	42.5	55.2	86
Mexico	20.4	15.5	10.9	4.4	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.2	5.4	26.3	71.5	252
Other	13.9	11.3	9.1	1.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	31.7	46.2	44.7	246
Developing countries (South)	34.6	21.6	16.4	10.2	2.4	0.3	0.1	2.4	1.8	5.6	44.3	48.4	3466
Developed countries (North)	19.1	13.5	11.6	4.1	1.3	0.2	0.1	1.8	1.4	5.4	27.7	71.0	8046
World	24.2	16.2	13.1	6.2	1.6	0.2	0.0	2.0	1.5	5.6	33.3	63.2	10926

Notes:1. Including Hong Kong SAR and Macao2. Including the Caribbean2.Figures do not add up to 100 because the total includes data for unclassified countries.

(A) Exports

Exports			South –South			South-North				
	Fuel	Non-fuel primary	Manufactures	Total, \$ billion	Fuel	Non-fuel primary	Manufactures	Total, \$ billion		
Developing Asia	8.6	8.0	83.3	1414.8	7.3	7.0	85.7	1340.9		
Northeast Asia	3.0	3.1	93.9	866.6	1.8	3.6	94.7	872.0		
China	1.9	3.4	94.7	675.5	0.8	3.8	95.4	728.3		
Republic of Korea	6.7	1.9	91.4	174.4	6.4	2.6	91.0	137.4		
Southeast Asia	15.9	14.1	70.0	438.8	11.4	14.8	73.8	335.9		
Indonesia	9.3	19.4	71.3	36.7	7.2	10.2	82.6	37.3		
Malaysia	16.6	14.9	68.5	89.7	10.5	9.8	79.7	74.0		
Philippines	4.4	7.7	87.9	21.0	1.1	10.0	88.8	25.9		
Singapore	15.6	7.5	76.8	185.8	8.9	9.3	81.8	88.7		
Thailand	7.6	18.1	74.3	72.6	1.6	19.5	78.9	65.6		
Viet Nam	24.4	27.9	47.7	53.0	27.5	26.3	46.2	51.8		
Other	95.9	0.5	3.6	3.6	96.7	0.3	3.0	4.0		
Southern Asia	19.4	22.8	57.8	92.8	16.7	9.0	74.3	94.8		
India	21.2	21.3	57.6	76.1	7.0	10.9	82.0	55.8		
Central and West Asia	49.5	22.7	27.8	16.4	70.1	11.2	18.8	37.7		
Pacific	19.0	61.7	19.3	0.3	0.0	69.9	30.1	0.5		
Middle East	43.7	12.5	43.8	195.3	58.5	6.3	35.2	292.2		
Africa	43.8	23.0	33.2	81.3	54.6	14.2	31.2	182.6		
South Africa	14.2	20.8	65.0	19.8	7.3	16.3	76.4	37.2		
Latin America	15.0	35.4	49.6	204.6	18.5	25.2	56.4	456.6		
Brazil	10.0	34.4	55.6	72.0	6.4	44.9	48.7	73.8		
Mexico	15.9	12.1	72.0	21.4	15.6	9.0	75.4	238.9		
Total South	14.7	12.1	73.2	1896.0	19.9	11.1	68.9	2272.3		
Memo items:										
Developed countries	5.0	15.2	79.8	1895.5	9.2	16.1	74.7	6575.4		
World	10.0	13.6	76.4	3667.2	12.2	14.2	73.6	8304.0		

(a) Imports

		S	outh-South		South - North			
	Fuel	Non-fuel primary	Manufactures	Total, \$ billion	Fuel	Non-fuel primary	Manufactures	Total, \$ billion
Developing Asia	25.1	10.7	64.2	1418.7	4.3	14.2	81.5	977.1
Eastern Asia	20.5	10.4	69.1	847.4	4.2	14.1	81.7	587.5
China	13.7	10.6	75.7	672.7	3.3	14.3	82.5	438.8
Republic of Korea	48.1	9.4	42.4	161.7	6.3	12.9	80.7	138.3
Southeast Asia	27.8	9.1	63.1	397.2	3.2	11.1	85.7	264.2
Indonesia	7.7	16.3	76.0	26.8	0.2	10.0	89.8	46.6
Malaysia	15.9	12.4	71.7	74.0	0.9	11.5	87.6	56.1
Philippines	28.4	10.6	61.0	29.0	1.1	9.6	89.3	22.8
Singapore	28.1	6.5	65.4	143.3	5.8	7.0	87.3	91.1
Thailand	32.8	7.8	59.4	73.4	3.0	10.7	86.4	56.7
Viet Nam	46.1	11.2	42.7	43.4	1.8	22.8	75.3	22.9
Other	2.4	24.3	73.3	1.0	0.4	8.3	91.3	0.6
Southern Asia	43.2	16.0	40.8	161.0	4.5	24.9	70.6	91.6
India	48.3	14.3	37.4	118.3	5.0	25.8	69.2	71.1
Central and West Asia	11.5	14.9	73.6	11.9	14.8	10.7	74.5	32.4
Pacific	56.8	11.0	32.1	1.2	4.9	32.8	62.3	1.4
Middle East	10.4	20.1	69.5	181.2	6.4	15.1	78.5	285.9
Africa	26.4	17.1	56.4	125.2	5.4	19.1	75.5	146.6
South Africa	36.8	13.6	49.7	33.1	3.4	17.2	79.5	39.4
Latin America	17.0	13.2	69.7	261.1	7.1	11.0	81.9	363.8
Brazil	30.9	16.3	52.9	52.9	6.6	6.1	87.4	51.0
Mexico	4.6	12.9	82.5	69.5	7.0	11.8	81.2	193.9
Developing countries	22.8	12.3	65.0	1986.2	5.3	14.1	80.6	1773.5
Developed countries	23.0	11.8	65.2	2898.4	10.7	15.9	73.5	6394.6
World	22.7	11.9	65.5	4702.7	9.0	14.9	76.1	7591.6

,		,							
	Exports					Imports			
		South-South	Intra-regional ¹	South-North	World	South-South	Intra-regional ¹	South-North	World
Developing Asia	1996-7	22.8	24.9	20.7	21.9	22.4	38.0	26.1	24.6
	2000-1	42.3	47.0	32.7	38.1	42.8	71.2	43.2	43.4
	2006-7	42.3	47.7	30.4	37.4	48.3	76.0	39.4	45.1
	2008	38.7	44.5	28.2	34.8	45.3	71.3	36.6	42.1
	2009	39.9	45.8	27.7	35.6	47.6	75.1	37.3	44.0
Eastern Asia	1996-7	24.8	24.3	21.8	23.6	24.1	21.2	34.2	29.8
	2000-1	34.6	38.1	26.3	30.9	36.2	32.9	40.9	39.1
	2006-7	39.9	50.8	26.9	34.2	50.2	53.9	37.6	45.5
	2008	37.2	52.0	25.7	32.6	49.6	55.3	36.1	44.3
	2009	38.5	54.4	24.9	33.3	50.9	58.1	36.2	45.5
China	1996-7	24.5	0.0	16.4	20.6	23.3	0.0	32.8	28.0
	2000-1	36.9	0.0	21.9	29.2	37.4	0.0	40.6	39.3
	2006-7	40.1	0.0	25.4	33.3	52.4	0.0	39.6	47.7
	2008	38.0	0.0	23.8	31.8	52.6	0.0	37.9	46.8
	2009	40.2	0.0	22.9	32.8	52.9	0.0	37.9	47.5
Southeast Asia	1996-7	21.6	24.3	22.1	22.0	21.1	40.1	18.6	19.2
	2000-1	58.6	66.7	56.2	58.6	56.2	100.3	53.9	54.9
	2006-7	55.4	56.7	48.8	53.7	51.2	53.4	49.7	51.5
	2008	49.5	49.9	44.0	48.5	43.7	42.5	45.2	45.0
	2009	51.8	51.2	46.3	51.3	49.2	48.3	46.5	48.9
Southern Asia	1996-7	7.3	2.0	4.5	5.3	11.1	0.4	19.4	15.5
	2000-1	8.2	2.0	4.6	5.9	17.9	0.9	22.1	20.3
	2006-7	8.4	2.1	8.0	8.2	24.6	1.0	24.3	24.4
	2008	9.8	2.8	11.3	10.6	22.1	0.6	19.8	20.9
	2009	10.9	1.9	10.9	10.9	23.1	0.8	23.8	23.5
Central and West Asia	1996-7	1.0	0.9	0.5	0.7	1.0	0.0	2.0	1.8
	2000-1	4.8	3.4	5.5	5.3	20.7	6.4	22.5	22.1
	2006-7	2.4	1.7	4.7	3.8	15.2	1.1	18.2	17.5

Table 6: Parts & Component share in Developing-Country manufacturing Trade, 1996-07^{*}, 2000-01^{*}, 2006-07^{*}, 2008 and 2009

	2008	1.8	1.6	4.2	3.3	13.8	0.7	18.5	17.1
	2009	2.1	1.6	4.5	3.4	16.7	0.7	20.3	19.3
Pacific	1996-7				0.0	0.5	2.6	1.2	1.1
	2000-1	1.3	0.5	10.0	8.5	5.0	0.3	9.1	8.0
	2006-7	20.5	12.4	38.8	32.5	12.0	1.1	20.9	18.0
	2008	22.5	15.4	30.2	26.7	15.7	1.0	22.9	20.4
	2009	21.5	13.8	34.8	28.6	12.5	1.1	24.8	20.7
Middle East	1996-7	11.4	2.0	15.8	15.2	7.8	1.1	17.8	15.6
	2000-1	13.5	5.3	17.0	16.5	8.8	4.8	21.6	18.4
	2006-7	10.4	13.1	13.2	12.9	13.5	5.0	22.8	19.5
	2008	43.4	48.5	31.5	38.4	49.0	77.3	40.0	45.8
	2009	114.0	114.0	114.0	114.0	114.0	114.0	114.0	114.0
Africa	1996-7	0.4	1.2	0.3	0.3	2.9	0.8	5.6	4.8
	2000-1	7.9	24.9	8.4	8.2	12.1	14.8	17.8	16.3
	2006-7	9.1	26.2	12.8	11.4	16.4	8.6	22.3	20.0
	2008	9.5	22.9	13.2	11.8	17.6	6.9	22.7	20.6
	2009	11.2	27.1	16.5	14.7	18.4	6.3	24.0	21.6
Latin America	1996-7	10.5	10.7	30.9	24.9	15.1	34.7	29.5	26.3
	2000-1	16.2	15.0	36.2	32.4	24.7	30.0	39.9	36.8
	2006-7	17.7	17.6	33.2	28.6	29.8	18.8	32.3	31.7
	2008	16.4	16.9	31.6	26.5	28.9	16.3	30.7	30.4
	2009	15.3	16.7	33.3	27.3	31.8	14.7	30.7	31.6
Developing countries	1996-7	41.8	41.8	44.0	43.4	40.5	40.5	50.2	46.7
	2000-1	36.9	36.9	33.0	35.4	35.5	35.5	38.4	37.7
	2006-7	38.0	38.0	29.1	34.1	40.6	40.6	34.0	38.1
	2008	34.9	34.9	27.2	31.7	37.8	37.8	32.1	35.7
	2009	36.0	36.0	27.5	32.8	40.3	40.3	32.2	37.3

*Two year averages

1. Trade within given regions (Developing Asia, Middle East, Africa and Latin America and developing countries)

	\$Billion	share in total	Share in	\$Million	share in total	Share in	
		Southern	world		Southern	world	
		exports	Exports		imports	imports	
		Exports		Imports			
1996	388	40.6	10.7	335	29.9	8.5	
1997	369	40.2	10.1	319	29.1	8.0	
1998	340	37.7	9.4	301	29.7	7.5	
1999	322	35.7	8.8	304	29.4	7.3	
2000	377	36.5	9.6	371	30.0	8.1	
2001	386	37.7	9.9	382	30.9	8.5	
2002	415	37.1	10.0	411	30.7	8.6	
2003	517	38.9	10.7	494	31.4	8.9	
2004	657	39.6	11.3	642	32.2	9.5	
2005	796	41.0	12.4	758	33.0	10.2	
2006	972	42.0	13.1	898	32.9	10.4	
2007	1215	44.1	13.9	1126	34.9	11.3	
2008	1476	45.9	15.0	1392	36.5	12.5	
2009	1255	47.5	16.0	1092	35.5	12.5	

 Table 7:
 South-South Non-Fuel Trade net of Manufacturing Parts and Components, 1996-2009

		\$billion	SS in exports	Region's share in total SS exports	Intra- regional share in SS exports	\$billion	SS in exports	Region's share in total SS exports	Intra- regional share in SS exports	
			Ez	xports		Imports				
Asia	1996-07	297.9	44.4	78.7	83.1	243.1	32.7	74.3	88.0	
	2000-01	279.2	41.7	73.3	76.4	245.4	33.0	65.2	86.1	
	2006-07	795.1	46.4	72.7	73.6	623.6	33.3	61.7	82.1	
	2008	1,077.7	49.7	73.0	70.7	830.0	35.8	59.6	79.0	
	2009	925.1	51.2	73.7	70.6	672.8	34.6	61.6	77.0	
Northeast	1996-07	171.0	46.2	45.3	82.2	136.5	35.1	41.8	90.5	
Asia	2000-01	176.3	41.5	46.3	77.7	155.3	35.0	41.3	89.2	
	2006-07	517.1	44.3	47.2	73.4	380.1	32.0	37.6	81.9	
	2008	693.7	47.0	47.0	70.0	479.1	33.4	34.4	77.4	
	2009	607.3	48.2	48.4	70.9	409.9	32.2	37.5	75.8	
China, PRC	1996-07	123.6	44.2	32.7	86.6	118.0	40.1	36.1	93.1	
	2000-01	130.6	39.6	34.3	81.6	135.3	37.9	35.9	90.9	
	2006-07	407.0	42.2	37.1	74.9	314.0	32.0	31.1	82.6	
	2008	544.7	44.4	36.9	71.2	389.7	33.0	28.0	76.7	
	2009	460.2	44.4	36.7	71.2	344.8	32.1	31.6	75.2	
Southeast Asia	1996-07	108.6	43.5	28.6	87.9	88.2	29.1	26.9	89.6	
	2000-01	77.8	43.8	20.4	80.3	61.9	26.9	16.4	88.5	
	2006-07	199.3	52.3	18.2	80.9	158.7	33.8	15.7	89.3	
	2008	283.6	56.5	19.2	78.0	234.9	38.6	16.9	88.8	
	2009	217.9	59.1	17.4	78.2	155.9	36.1	14.3	88.0	
Southern Asia	1996-07	16.4	35.8	4.3	59.5	16.7	34.9	5.1	63.5	
	2000-01	22.7	38.4	5.9	52.1	25.8	42.3	6.8	63.6	
	2006-07	70.3	48.8	6.5	52.7	75.0	41.3	7.4	70.1	
	2008	89.0	54.7	6.0	52.1	101.5	45.0	7.3	65.5	
	2009	92.5	56.7	7.4	49.1	97.8	48.1	9.0	64.8	

Table 8: South-South Trade by Region: Non-fuel trade net of manufacturing parts and component

Central and	1996-07	1.9	34.4	0.5	86.8	1.7	26.0	0.5	48.1
West Asia	2000-01	2.1	35.1	0.5	82.6	1.9	24.2	0.5	55.9
	2006-07	8.1	42.1	0.7	85.5	9.2	26.9	0.9	67.6
	2008	11.2	41.2	0.8	77.8	13.5	29.2	1.0	67.8
	2009	7.3	46.4	0.6	89.8	8.9	27.2	0.8	72.0
Pacific	1996-07	0.0	67.2	0.0	100.0	0.0	24.9	0.0	99.2
	2000-01	0.3	16.4	0.1	97.5	0.5	27.5	0.1	76.9
	2006-07	0.2	26.9	0.0	97.1	0.5	27.6	0.0	96.2
	2008	0.2	16.3	0.0	92.1	0.9	31.2	0.1	96.9
	2009	0.1	19.3	0.0	93.3	0.4	34.1	0.0	96.4
Middle East	1996-07	14.0	30.0	3.7	36.9	16.3	18.0	5.0	29.8
	2000-01	26.2	33.7	6.9	41.1	43.7	27.3	11.6	26.9
	2006-07	98.6	39.8	9.0	41.6	145.0	35.5	14.3	21.8
	2008	119.2	36.5	8.1	41.4	215.3	37.6	15.5	22.2
	2009	102.5	36.6	8.2	35.9	159.1	36.4	14.6	18.8
Africa	1996-07	8.4	26.4	2.2	52.6	13.9	21.7	4.3	19.1
	2000-01	17.0	28.6	4.5	53.7	25.9	28.8	6.9	35.6
	2006-07	43.3	34.8	4.0	55.8	80.7	37.7	8.0	25.4
	2008	66.3	40.4	4.5	50.6	119.0	40.1	8.6	22.7
	2009	44.5	42.0	3.5	47.0	85.5	41.9	7.8	22.6
Latin America	1996-07	58.1	31.0	15.4	70.9	53.7	25.6	16.5	74.5
	2000-01	59.6	26.7	14.9	67.1	61.2	25.3	15.5	67.9
	2006-07	156.4	34.2	14.3	56.9	162.9	33.2	16.0	57.2
	2008	213.2	38.4	14.4	56.5	227.4	36.3	16.3	54.3
	2009	182.9	40.5	14.6	48.9	174.8	35.5	16.0	33.2
Total South	1996-07	378.4	40.4	100.0	100.0	327.0	29.5	100.0	100.0
	2000-01	381.1	37.1	100.0	100.0	376.6	30.4	100.0	100.0
	2006-07	1,093.4	43.0	100.0	100.0	1,012.2	33.9	100.0	100.0
	2008	1,476.5	45.9	100.0	100.0	1,391.8	36.5	100.0	100.0
	2009	1,254.9	47.5	100.0	100.0	1,092.2	35.5	100.0	100.0

	Definition	Data Source/variable construction
Label		
Х, М	Value of bilateral trade (imports and exports) in US\$ measured at constant (2000) price.	Exports (at CIF price, US\$): compiled from importer records of UN-COMTRADE, online database (http://www.bls.gov/ppi/home.htm). Exports and import values are deflated by US import and export price indices extracted from the US Bureau of labour Statistics data base.
GDP,GD	Real GDP, and real per capita GDP (at	World Development Indicator,
ADI	1995 price)	CEPU database
ADJ	common land border and 0 otherwise	
DIST	Weighted distance measure of the French Institute for Research on the International Economy (CEPII), which measures the bilateral great-circle distance between major cities of each country	French Institute for Research on the International Economy (CEPII) database
LPI	World Bank logistic performance index	LPI database, World Bank
	Arvis et al. (2007),	
RER	Arvis et al. (2007), Real exchange rate: $RER_{ij} = NER * \frac{P_j^W}{P_i^D}$ where, <i>NER</i> is the nominal bilateral exchange rate index.(US\$ price of foreign currency), P ^W in price level of country j measured by the producer price index and P ^D is the domestic price index of country i measured by the GDP deflator. An increase (decrease) in RER_{ij} indicates a deterioration (an improvement) in country j's competitiveness in traded-goods production in <i>i</i> (The USA in this case)	Constructed using data from World bank, World development Indicators database. Following Soloaga and Winters (2001), mean-adjusted RER is used in the model. This variable specification assumes that countries are in exchange rate equilibrium at the mean.
RER	Arvis et al. (2007), Real exchange rate: $RER_{ij} = NER * \frac{P_j^W}{P_i^D}$ where, <i>NER</i> is the nominal bilateral exchange rate index.(US\$ price of foreign currency), P ^W in price level of country j measured by the producer price index and P ^D is the domestic price index of country i measured by the GDP deflator. An increase (decrease) in RER_{ij} indicates a deterioration (an improvement) in country j's competitiveness in traded-goods production in <i>i</i> (The USA, in this case). Trade-weight MFN tariff rate (the same average tariff rate is applied to all bilateral trading partners)	Constructed using data from World bank, World development Indicators database. Following Soloaga and Winters (2001), mean-adjusted RER is used in the model. This variable specification assumes that countries are in exchange rate equilibrium at the mean. World Development Indicator database, World Bank
RER TRF LNG	Arvis et al. (2007), Real exchange rate: $RER_{ij} = NER * \frac{P_j^W}{P_i^D}$ where, <i>NER</i> is the nominal bilateral exchange rate index.(US\$ price of foreign currency), P ^W in price level of country j measured by the producer price index and P ^D is the domestic price index of country i measured by the GDP deflator. An increase (decrease) in <i>RER_{ij}</i> indicates a deterioration (an improvement) in country j's competitiveness in traded-goods production in <i>i</i> (The USA, in this case). Trade-weight MFN tariff rate (the same average tariff rate is applied to all bilateral trading partners) A dummy variable which is unity if <i>i</i> and <i>j</i> have a common language and zero	Constructed using data from World bank, World development Indicators database. Following Soloaga and Winters (2001), mean-adjusted RER is used in the model. This variable specification assumes that countries are in exchange rate equilibrium at the mean. World Development Indicator database, World Bank CEPII database

 Table 9: Variables construction and data sources for gravity model estimation

	Exi	<u></u>	Imports		
Explanatory Variables ²	Benchmark	With ST	Benchmar	With ST dummy	
F	model	dummy	k model	With ST dunning	
(a) Exports	model	dummy	K IIIOdei		
Ln GDP, reporter	1.147***	1 018***	0.624***	0.603***	
	(0.011)	(0.017)	(0.015)	(0.002)	
Ln GDP, partner	0.799***	0.946***	0.904***	0.941	
	(0.009)	(0.014)	(0.111)	(0.017)***	
Ln distance (DST)	-0.793***	0.445***	-1.068**	-0.772***	
	(0.019)	(0.056)	(0.023)	(0.069)	
Tariff rate (TFR)	-0.011***	-0.040***	-0.044***	-0.035***	
	(0.001)	(0.009)	(0.001)	(0.002)	
Regional trading agreement (RTA)	1.012**	1.055***	0.675***	0.675**	
	(0.314)	(0.221)	(0.134)	(0.242)	
Ln real exchange rate (<i>RER</i>)	0.093***	0.097***	-0.065*	-0.116***	
	(0.010)	(0.15)	(0.014)	(0.018)	
Common language (CLN)	0.687***	0.319***	0.377***	0.055	
	(0.034)	(0.015)	(0.041)	(0.063)	
Logistic performance (LPI)	0.616**	0.688***	0.855**	1.497***	
	(0.030)	(0.057)	(0.034)	(0.068)	
Asian financial crisis (DAFC)	-0.025	-0.007*	-0.097**	-0.113*	
	(0.034)	(0.051)	(0.044)	(0.062)	
ST*LnGDP, reporter		0.245***		0.053*	
		(0.022)		(0.029)	
ST*LnGDP, partner		-0.277***		-0.086	
		(0.018)		(0.023)	
ST* Ln distance (DST)		-1.396***		-0.223***	
		(0.061)		(0.074)	
ST*RER		0.024		-0.118***	
		(0.021)		(0.029)	
ST*TFR		0.027***		-0.013***	
		(009)		(0.002)	
ST*RTA		-0.107		-0.046	
		(0.268)		(0.289)	
ST*LPI		0.210***		-0.481	
		(0.073)		(0.087)	
ST*CLN		0.264***		0.387***	
		(0.070)		(0.084)	
ST		12.737***		5.682***	
		(0.916)		(1.176)	
Constant	-25.956***	-37.869***	2.51	-0.90	
	(0.392)	(0.772)	(0.28)	(0.09)	
Observations	13339	13339	11463	11463	
R ²	0.74	0.075	0.70	0.71	
F	3992.81	1085.79	2156.09	1084.93	

 Table 5. Determinants of Manufacturing (Mfg) trade, (1990-2008)¹

RMSE ⁴		1.206	1.257	1.406	1.380
Notes:	Figures in parenthese	es are standard	d errors (SEs)	derived usin	g the Huber-While

consistent variance-covariance ('sandwich') estimator. Statistical significant is denoted as ***1 percent, **5 percent, and *10 percent. Results for the time dummies are not reported. RMSE: root mean square error





Figure 2: Share of South-South trade in Developing Country trade (%)



Figure 3: Share of South-South trade in non-fuel merchandise trade by region









Figure 5: Intra-regional shares in South-South non-fuel trade (%)











Figure 7: Share of Parts and Components in South-South manufacturing trade (%)



Working Papers in Trade and Development List of Papers (including publication details as at 2011)

- 07/01 KELLY BIRD, SANDY CUTHBERTSON and HAL HILL, 'Making Trade Policy in a New Democracy after a Deep Crisis: Indonesia
- 07/02 RAGHBENDRA JHA and T PALANIVEL, 'Resource Augmentation for Meeting the Millennium Development Goals in the Asia Pacific Region'
- 07/03 SATOSHI YAMAZAKI and BUDY P RESOSUDARMO, 'Does Sending Farmers Back to School have an Impact? A Spatial Econometric Approach'
- 07/04 PIERRE VAN DER ENG, 'De-industrialisation' and Colonial Rule: The Cotton Textile Industry in Indonesia, 1820-1941'
- 07/05 DJONI HARTONO and BUDY P RESOSUDARMO, 'The Economy-wide Impact of Controlling Energy Consumption in Indonesia: An Analysis Using a Social Accounting Matrix Framework'
- 07/06 W MAX CORDEN, 'The Asian Crisis: A Perspective after Ten Years'
- 07/07 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'The Malaysian Capital Controls: A Success Story?
- 07/08 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and SATISH CHAND, 'Tariff-Growth Nexus in the Australian Economy, 1870-2002: Is there a Paradox?,
- 07/09 ROD TYERS and IAN BAIN, 'Appreciating the Renbimbi'
- 07/10 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'The Rise of China and East Asian Export Performance: Is the Crowding-out Fear Warranted?
- 08/01 RAGHBENDRA JHA, RAGHAV GAIHA AND SHYLASHRI SHANKAR, 'National Rural Employment Guarantee Programme in India – A Review'
- 08/02 HAL HILL, BUDY RESOSUDARMO and YOGI VIDYATTAMA, 'Indonesia's Changing Economic Geography'
- 08/03 ROSS H McLEOD, 'The Soeharto Era: From Beginning to End'
- 08/04 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'China's Integration into Global Production Networks and its Implications for Export-led Growth Strategy in Other Countries in the Region'
- 08/05 RAGHBENDRA JHA, RAGHAV GAIHA and SHYLASHRI SHANKAR, 'National Rural Employment Guarantee Programme in Andhra Pradesh: Some Recent Evidence'
- 08/06 NOBUAKI YAMASHITA, 'The Impact of Production Fragmentation on Skill Upgrading: New Evidence from Japanese Manufacturing'
- 08/07 RAGHBENDRA JHA, TU DANG and KRISHNA LAL SHARMA, 'Vulnerability to Poverty in Fiji'

- 08/08 RAGHBENDRA JHA, TU DANG, ' Vulnerability to Poverty in Papua New Guinea'
- 08/09 RAGHBENDRA JHA, TU DANG and YUSUF TASHRIFOV, 'Economic Vulnerability and Poverty in Tajikistan'
- 08/10 RAGHBENDRA JHA and TU DANG, 'Vulnerability to Poverty in Select Central Asian Countries'
- 08/11 RAGHBENDRA JHA and TU DANG, 'Vulnerability and Poverty in Timor-Leste'
- 08/12 SAMBIT BHATTACHARYYA, STEVE DOWRICK and JANE GOLLEY, 'Institutions and Trade: Competitors or Complements in Economic Development?
- 08/13 SAMBIT BHATTACHARYYA, 'Trade Liberalizaton and Institutional Development'
- 08/14 SAMBIT BHATTACHARYYA, 'Unbundled Institutions, Human Capital and Growth'
- 08/15 SAMBIT BHATTACHARYYA, 'Institutions, Diseases and Economic Progress: A Unified Framework'
- 08/16 SAMBIT BHATTACHARYYA, 'Root causes of African Underdevelopment'
- 08/17 KELLY BIRD and HAL HILL, 'Philippine Economic Development: A Turning Point?'
- 08/18 HARYO ASWICAHYONO, DIONISIUS NARJOKO and HAL HILL, 'Industrialization after a Deep Economic Crisis: Indonesia'
- 08/19 PETER WARR, 'Poverty Reduction through Long-term Growth: The Thai Experience'
- 08/20 PIERRE VAN DER ENG, 'Labour-Intensive Industrialisation in Indonesia, 1930-1975: Output Trends and Government policies'
- 08/21 BUDY P RESOSUDARMO, CATUR SUGIYANTO and ARI KUNCORO, 'Livelihood Recovery after Natural Disasters and the Role of Aid: The Case of the 2006 Yogyakarta Earthquake'
- 08/22 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and NOBUAKI YAMASHITA, 'Global Production Sharing and US-China Trade Relations'
- 09/01 PIERRE VAN DER ENG, ' Total Factor Productivity and the Economic Growth in Indonesia'
- 09/02 SAMBIT BHATTACHARYYA and JEFFREY G WILLIAMSON, 'Commodity Price Shocks and the Australian Economy since Federation'
- 09/03 RUSSELL THOMSON, 'Tax Policy and the Globalisation of R & D'
- 09/04 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'China's Impact on Foreign Trade and Investment in other Asian Countries'
- 09/05 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'Transition to a Market Economy and Export Performance in Vietnam'

- 09/06 DAVID STERN, 'Interfuel Substitution: A Meta-Analysis'
- 09/07 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and ARCHANUN KOHPAIBOON, 'Globalization of R&D US-based Multinational Enterprises'
- 09/08 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'Trends and Patterns of Foreign Investments in Asia: A Comparative Perspective'
- 09/09 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and ARCHANUN KOHPAIBOON,' Intra-Regional Trade in East Asia: The Decoupling Fallacy, Crisis, and Policy Challenges'
- 09/10 PETER WARR, 'Aggregate and Sectoral Productivity Growth in Thailand and Indonesia'
- 09/11 WALEERAT SUPHANNACHART and PETER WARR, 'Research and Productivity in Thai Agriculture'
- 09/12 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and HAL HILL, 'Asian Trade: Long-Term Patterns and Key Policy Issues'
- 09/13 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and ARCHANUN KOHPAIBOON, 'East Asian Exports in the Global Economic Crisis: The Decoupling Fallacy and Post-crisis Policy Challenges'.
- 09/14 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'Outward Direct Investment from India'
- 09/15 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'Production Networks and Trade Patterns: East Asia in a Global Context'
- 09/16 SANTANU GUPTA and RAGHBENDRA JHA, 'Limits to Citizens' Demand in a Democracy'
- 09/17 CHRIS MANNING, 'Globalisation and Labour Markets in Boom and Crisis: the Case of Vietnam'
- 09/18 W. MAX CORDEN, 'Ambulance Economics: The Pros and Cons of Fiscal Stimuli'
- 09/19 PETER WARR and ARIEF ANSHORY YUSUF, 'International Food Prices and Poverty in Indonesia'
- 09/20 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and TRAN QUANG TIEN, 'Foreign Direct Investment in Industrial Transition: The Experience of Vietnam'
- 09/21 BUDY P RESOSUDARMO, ARIEF A YUSUF, DJONI HARTONO and DITYA AGUNG NURDIANTO, 'Implementation of the IRCGE Model for Planning: IRSA-INDONESIA15 (Inter-Regional System of Analysis for Indonesia in 5 Regions)
- 10/01 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'Trade Liberalisation and The Poverty of Nations: A Review Article'
- 10/02 ROSS H McLEOD, 'Institutionalized Public Sector Corruption: A Legacy of the Soeharto Franchise'

- 10/03 KELLY BIRD and HAL HILL, 'Tiny, Poor, Landlocked, Indebted, but Growing: Lessons for late Reforming Transition Economies from Laos'
- 10/04 RAGHBENDRA JHA and TU DANG, 'Education and the Vulnerability to Food Inadequacy in Timor-Leste'
- 10/05 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and ARCHANUN KOHPAIBOON, 'East Asia in World Trade: The Decoupling Fallacy, Crisis and Policy Challenges'
- 10/06 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and JAYANT MENON, 'Global Production Sharing, Trade Patterns and Determinants of Trade Flows'
- 10/07 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'Production Networks and Trade Patterns in East Asia: Regionalization or Globalization?
- 10/08 BUDY P RESOSUDARMO, ARIANA ALISJAHBANA and DITYA AGUNG NURDIANTO, 'Energy Security in Indonesia'
- 10/09 BUDY P RESOSUDARMO, 'Understanding the Success of an Environmental Policy: The case of the 1989-1999 Integrated Pest Management Program in Indonesia'
- 10/10 M CHATIB BASRI and HAL HILL, 'Indonesian Growth Dynamics'
- 10/11 HAL HILL and JAYANT MENON, 'ASEAN Economic Integration: Driven by Markets, Bureaucrats or Both?
- 10/12 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, ' Malaysian Economy in Three Crises'
- 10/13 HAL HILL, 'Malaysian Economic Development: Looking Backwards and Forward'
- 10/14 FADLIYA and ROSS H McLEOD, 'Fiscal Transfers to Regional Governments in Indonesia'
- 11/01 BUDY P RESOSUDARMO and SATOSHI YAMAZAKI, 'Training and Visit (T&V) Extension vs. Farmer Field School: The Indonesian'
- 11/02 BUDY P RESOSUDARMO and DANIEL SURYADARMA, 'The Effect of Childhood Migration on Human Capital Accumulation: Evidence from Rural-Urban Migrants in Indonesia'
- 11/03 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA and EVELYN S DEVADASON, 'The Impact of Foreign Labour on Host Country Wages: The Experience of a Southern Host, Malaysia'
- 11/04 PETER WARR, 'Food Security vs. Food Self-Sufficiency: The Indonesian Case'
- 11/05 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'Asian Trade Flows: Trends, Patterns and Projections'
- 11/06 PAUL J BURKE, 'Economic Growth and Political Survival'
- 11/07 HAL HILL and JUTHATHIP JONGWANICH, 'Asia Rising: Emerging East Asian Economies as Foreign Investors'

- 11/08 HAL HILL and JAYANT MENON, 'Reducing Vulnerability in Transition Economies: Crises and Adjustment in Cambodia'
- 11/09 PREMA-CHANDRA ATHUKORALA, 'South-South Trade: An Asian Perspective'