

## Index Insurance: Financial Innovations for Agricultural Risk Management and Development

#### **Sommarat Chantarat**

Arndt-Corden Department of Economics Australian National University

PSEKP Seminar Series, Gadjah Mada University 30 January 2012



## **Outline of my talk**

- Motivations
- Development and implement index insurance program
- Satellite based livestock insurance in Kenya
- Prospects for Indonesia: Interesting research questions



### **Insurance and Development**

Economic costs of uninsured (weather and natural disaster) risk, especially w/ threshold-based poverty traps

### ➢ Insurance → protect rural livelihoods and escape poverty

- Provide safety net to prevent collapse of vulnerable populations
- Encourage investment and asset accumulation by the poor
- Induce financial deepening by crowding in credit market and social insurance
- ➢ Insurance → pre-finance effective emergency response and recovery
  - Timely response enhances resilience
    to shocks and reduce costs of
    Nadaraya-Watson estination
    humanitarian responses/social protection programs







## **Insurance and Agricultural Risk Management**



### Two types of formal agricultural insurance

### **Conventional crop insurance**

Compensate actual loss, multi-peril or named coverage

- High costs of verifying losses
- Moral hazard and adverse selection
- Existing programs are very costly and largely subsidized

No successful crop insurance in the world, not likely work in rural areas

#### Index insurance

Compensate specific loss based on objectively measured index NOT actual loss

- Low costs no farm-level loss verification
- Low incentive problems insured cannot influence payout probability
- Challenges in minimizing basis risk

Promise as a market viable instruments, more suitable for rural areas in DCs



## **Developing Index Insurance Program**

### 1. Identify loss to be insured $(L_{lt})$

• Identify uninsured loss by testing simple consumption risk sharing hypothesis (e.g., Townsend 1994),  $L_{lt}$  is uninsured if  $H_0: c = 0$  is rejected  $\Delta C_{lt} = a_0 + a_l + a_t + bX_{lt} + cL_{lt} + \varepsilon_{lt}$ 

### 2. Select objectively measured index ( $\theta_{lt}$ )

• Highly correlated with loss, available reliably in near-real time, non-manipulable by insured parties, high spatial distribution, at least 20 years historical profiles

### 3. Quantify insurable loss from index ( $\hat{L}(\theta_{lt})$ )

- $\theta_{lt}$  needs to explain most of the loss variations:  $L_{lt} = L(\theta_{lt}) + \varepsilon_{lt} \rightarrow \hat{L}(\theta_{lt})$
- Use micro data of  $L_{lt}$  to minimize basis risk

### 4. Identify optimal contract structure

- Payoff based on  $\hat{L}(\theta_{lt})$ :  $\Pi_{lt}(\hat{L}(\theta_{lt})|L^*) = max(\hat{L}(\theta_{lt}) L^*, 0) \times sum insured$
- Stand-alone contract, group-based contract, interlinked insurance-loan





## **Developing Index Insurance Program**

### 5. Actuarial pricing

• Actuarial fair premium: burn rate and/or Monte Carlo simulation based on  $f(\theta_{lt})$  $p_l(\hat{L}(\theta_{lt})|L^*) = E(\Pi_{lt}(\hat{L}(\theta_{lt})|L^*)) = \int \Pi_{lt}(\hat{L}(\theta_{lt})|L^*)df(\theta_{lt})$ 

### 6. Ex-ante contract evaluation

- Simulated welfare and behavior response impacts using dynamic model/data
- Field experiments to elicit willingness to pay among targeted clients

### 7. Develop education and extension tools for pilot sale

- Simplified products, financial educational tools, targeted learning network
- 8. Identify cost effective delivery mechanisms
  - Delivery through mobile technology, local financial institutions, network groups

### 9. Long-term micro-level impact assessment

• Randomized survey and experiments to elicit demand, impacts on welfare, induced behavior responses from control and treatment groups







## (1) Identify loss to be insured:

Catastrophic livestock losses from drought as key uninsured risk in this area

 Observed household welfare co-move with livestock losses





#### Australian National University

## Satellite vegetation based livestock insurance in Kenya



(2) Selecting index: NASA MODIS Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) as index

- Indication of availability of vegetation over rangeland
- Spatiotemporal rich (1×1 km<sup>2</sup>)
- Available in near-real time every 15 day (1982-present)





# (3) Quantify insurable loss from index: construct predicted livestock loss from the empirical model: $M_{lt} = M(ZNDVI_{lt}) + \varepsilon_{lt}$







# (3) Quantify insurable loss from index: construct predicted livestock loss from the empirical model: $M_{lt} = M(ZNDVI_{lt}) + \varepsilon_{lt}$







# (3) Quantify insurable loss from index: construct predicted livestock loss from the empirical model: $M_{lt} = M(ZNDVI_{lt}) + \varepsilon_{lt}$



**Regime switching model** for zone-specific, seasonal mortality prediction:

 $M_{lt} = \begin{cases} M_1(X(ndvi_{lt})) + \varepsilon_{1lt} & if \ Czndvi_pos_{lt} \ge \gamma \quad (good \ climate \ regime) \\ M_2(X(ndvi_{lt})) + \varepsilon_{2lt} & if \ Czndvi_pos_{lt} < \gamma \quad (bad \ climate \ regime) \end{cases}$ 





(3) Quantify insurable loss from index: construct predicted livestock loss from the empirical model:  $M_{lt} = M(ZNDVI_{lt}) + \varepsilon_{lt}$ 

Predictive Performance of predicted livestock loss,  $\widehat{M}(ZNDVI_{lt})$ 

- Out-of-sample prediction errors within +-10% (especially in the bad year)
- Predict historical droughts well





### (4) Identify optimal contract structure

- Insurable loss: Area average livestock loss indicated by  $\widehat{M}(ZNDVI_{lt})$
- Seasonal indemnity payment:

 $\Pi_{\rm lt}(\widehat{M}(\theta_{lt})|M^*,TLU,P_{TLU}) = max(\widehat{M}(ZNDVI_{lt})-M^*,0) \times TLU \times P_{TLU}$ 



- Coverage: Division level, annual contract (covers two seasonal payouts)
- (5) Actuarial fair premium: (% of sum insured)

Strike (M*)	10%	30%
Fair premium rate	6.8%	3.2%
$Pr(\widehat{M_l}(NDVI) > M^*)$	34.5%	19.8%



(6) Ex-ante contract evaluation: simulations of stochastic dynamic model based on observed household dynamic data

**Pastoral production function:** 

 $f(H_{it}, X_{it}) = \begin{cases} f_L(H_{it}, X_{it}) + b_{it} & \text{if } H_{it} \le H^* \\ f_H(H_{it}, X_{it}) & \text{if } H_{it} > H^* \end{cases}$ 

Household budget constraint:

Herd dynamics with stochastic environment:

 $H_{it+1} = (1 + g(NDVI_t, \varepsilon_{it}) - m(NDVI_t, \varepsilon_{it})) H_{it} + i_{it}$ 

Household Intertemporal problem:

 $c_{it} + i_{it} \le f(H_{it}, X_{it}) + (W_{it} - W_{it+1}) + (\pi - \rho)h_{it}H_{it} \quad V(H_{it}) = \max_{c_{it}, h_{it}} u(c_{it}) + \delta_i E(V(H_{t+1})|\Gamma_i(NDVI_t, \varepsilon_{it}, \pi_t))$ 



- In most case, insured herd SOSD uninsured herd: insurance reduces prob. of extreme loss
- Contract seems to be effective despite the existence of basis risk!



(6) Ex-ante contract evaluation: Willingness to pay experiments (210 hhs)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Contract Coverage	100% Compulsory Coverage				Insured	Insured Chooses Coverage level		
Model (Dependent Variable)	Probit (Willing to purchase = 1)			Ordered Prob	Ordered Probit (0, 25%, 50%, 75%, 100%)			
Premium rate	-0.146***	-0.140***	-0.143***	-0.135***	-0.453***	-0.452***	-0.454***	
	(0.036)	(0.033)	(0.039)	(0.036)	(0.046)	(0.0455)	(0.045)	
Preference								
Discount rate	-0.184	-0.177	-0.190	-0.165	-0.085	-0.106	-0.077	
	(0.158)	(0.157)	(0.139)	(0.149)	(0.225)	(0.224)	(0.231)	
Risk aversion	-0.085	-0.083		-0.085	-0.303*		-0.308**	
	(0.123)	(0.112)		(0.120)	(0.156)		(0.150)	
Risk aversion × Have bank account		1.247***		1.249***	0.0448		0.042	
		(0.180)		(0.234)	(0.0328)		(0.034)	
Ambiguity aversion	-0.005		-0.037	-0.029		-0.0340	-0.001	
5 ,	(0.031)		(0.031)	(0.035)		(0.169)	(0.137)	
Ambiguity aversion × Have bank account	(		0.0376	0.0375		0.0309	0.237	
			(0.034)	(0.034)		(0.0583)	(0.547)	
Loss experience and perception			(0.02.1)	(0.021)		(0.0505)	(0.217)	
Probability of m it>200% mean i	0 771***	0 728***	0 705**	0 687**	1 524**	1 440**	1 533**	
riccasing of m_n=20070 mean_r	(0.284)	(0.282)	(0.281)	(0.274)	(0.617)	(0.606)	(0.598)	
Experienced very had long rain 2008	0 143**	0.135**	0.107	0 110*	0.208	0.164	0.207	
(=1 if ves)	(0.067)	(0.065)	(0.069)	(0.071)	(0.199)	(0.207)	(0.212)	
Expected livestock loss in 2000	0.708	0.679	0.690	0.620	1 568***	1 631***	1 550***	
Lapeeted investoer 1035 in 2005	(0.520)	(0.408)	(0.524)	(0.532)	(0 317)	(0.320)	(0.326)	
Basis sick (% false perative when area	0.407***	0.475***	0.488***	0.450***	0.170	0.155	0.174	
Average loss trigger 10% strike)	(0.164)	(0.154)	(0 173)	(0.152)	(0.230)	(0.238)	(0.235)	
Wealth and avadit constraint	(0.104)	(0.154)	(0.173)	(0.152)	(0.239)	(0.238)	(0.235)	
L n (total livestock)	0.005***	0.016***	0.016***	0.206***	0 270***	0 27/***	0 277***	
LII (IOIAI IIVESIOCK)	-0.225	-0.210	-0.210	-0.200	-0.379	-0.374	-0.377	
In (non-livertack and history assets)	(0.017)	(0.016)	(0.010)	(0.015)	(0.119)	(0.124)	(0.122)	
Lit (non-investock productive assets)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.005)	0.055	(0.0169)	(0.0158)	0.008	
Landhalding	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.000)	(0.0108)	(0.0158)	(0.010)	
Landnolding	0.030*	(0.024)	(0.045)	0.044	-0.0280	-0.0134	-0.020	
Conditions to involve 1 (Const	(0.020)	(0.024)	(0.027)	(0.025)	(0.0557)	(0.0054)	(0.000)	
Credit constrained (=1 if yes)	0.225	0.215***	0.175*	0.180*	0.208	0.182	0.202	
	(0.083)	(0.078)	(0.094)	(0.094)	(0.214)	(0.220)	(0.211)	
Financial experience and literacy								
Have bank account ( =1 if yes)	0.337***	0.158	0.32/***	0.155	0.0310	0.0729	-0.137	
	(0.022)	(0.130)	(0.017)	(0.152)	(0.409)	(0.376)	(0.788)	
Belong to active network (=1 if yes)	0.321***	0.300***	0.306***	0.289***	0.483**	0.452*	0.503**	
	(0.037)	(0.030)	(0.047)	(0.038)	(0.234)	(0.241)	(0.256)	
Head education (=1 if yes)	-0.033*	-0.032*	-0.040**	-0.037*	-0.0550*	-0.0380	-0.054*	
	(0.019)	(0.017)	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.0312)	(0.0316)	(0.031)	

#### **Demand determinants**

- (+) familiarity with fn. product
- (+) with interacting financial experience with risk aversion
- (+) perceived loss profile
- (+) expected loss
- (+) wealth (wealth eff.)
- (-) perceived basis risk
- (+) credit constraint (buffer stock)

#### Premium Vs. Chosen Coverage



Modest demand exists at 20%+fair Less elastic among the rich



(7) Develop education and extension tools: using experimental games with real incentives



- Replicate the pastoral livelihood in the community
- Teach how this insurance work and how it will affect herd dynamics
- The game also allows us to study hh's behavior responses from insurance!



## (8) Identify cost effective delivery mechanisms to remote clients using mobile technology



- The contract has been commercialized in northern Kenya since 2010
- Contracts sold to among 10% of populations in the first year
- Local insurance company underwrites the contract with Swiss Re



### (9) Long-term micro-level impact assessment

- 4-year panel household survey, baseline (2009) with annual repeat
- **Challenges:** (i) cannot randomize eligibility for insurance (ii) low uptake reduces power of estimating avg. treatment effects
- Hence quasi-experiment with encouragement design: use IV approach with multiple instruments (to generate variation in insurance purchase)
- We randomize 3 instruments:
  - (1) Insurance education  $(e_{it})$ (2) Eligibility for cash transfer  $(t_{it})$ (3) Discount coupon at 0-60%  $(d_{it})$

	Cash transfer	No cash transfer
Educated	4 sites	4 sites
Not educated	4 sites	4 control sites

- **Survey instruments**: welfare, Induced behavior responses, formal/informal access to credit, social insurance, environmental impacts
- Empirical estimations of demand determinants and impacts of insurance:

First stage:  $D_{it} = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 e_{it} + \gamma_2 t_{it} + \gamma_3 d_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$ Second stage:  $\Delta Y_{it} = \rho_0 + \rho_1 D_{it} + \rho_2 X_{it} + D_{it} X_{it} \rho_3 + \delta_i + \varepsilon_{it}$ 

Stay tuned!



### References

- Barrett, C.B. et al. (2008) "Altering Poverty Dynamics with Index Insurance," BASIS Brief 2008-08.
- Barrett, C.B. and M.R. Carter (2007) "Asset Thresholds and Social Protection," *IDS Bulletin* 38(3):34-38.
- Carter, M.R. et al. (2008). "Insuring the Never-before Insured: Explaining Index Insurance through Financial Education Games," *BASIS Brief* 2008-07.
- Chantarat, S. et al. (forthcoming) "Designing Index-based Livestock Insurance for Managing Asset Risk in Northern Kenya." *Journal of Risk and Insurance*
- Chantarat, S. et al. (2011) "The Performance of Index-based Livestock Insurance: Ex Ante Assessment in the Presence of a Poverty Trap." Mimeo
- Chantarat, S. et al. (2011) "Willingness to Pay for Index-based Livestock Insurance: Results from a Field Experiment." Mimeo
- IBLI official site: http://livestockinsurance.wordpress.com/



## **Prospects for Index Insurance in Indonesia**

#### Interesting research questions

- The optimal contract design as part of existing risk management system (complementarities with self-, informal-insurance, government programs)
- Impact assessment on welfare, productive investments, existing risk management mechanisms
- Designs of financial educational tools
- Viability of flood index insurance (e.g., using satellite imagery?) as part of overall flood management system

